Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

SERVICE CONTRACT NO: EDO/01/2017

ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE -ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS

UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT NO. EP-513/2016

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT JUNE 2019

CLIENTS:

Civil Engineering and Development Department

PREPARED BY:

Lam Environmental Services Limited

11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, H.K.

Telephone: (852) 2882-3939
Facsimile: (852) 2882-3331
E-mail: info@lamenviro.com
Website: http://www.lamenviro.com

CERTIFIED BY:

Sam Lam

Environmental Team Leader

DATE:

12 July 2019



Civil Engineering and Development Department

New Territories East Development Office

Suite 1213 Chinachem Golden Plaza

77 Mody Road

Tsim Sha Tsui East

Kowloon

Your reference:

Our reference:

HKCEDD12/50/105881

Date:

12 July 2019

Attention: Mr Leung Siu Kau, Kelvin

BY POST

Dear Sirs

Agreement No. EDO/04/2017

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site

- Road Improvement Works

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (June 2019)

We refer to the emails on 9 and 10 July 2019 from Environmental Team, Lam Environmental Services Limited attaching a Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report (June 2019) for the captioned project.

We have no further comment and hereby verify the abovementioned report in accordance with Clause 3.4 of the Environmental Permit no. EP-513/2016.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Ms Hazel Chan on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

Independent Environmental Checker

LYMA/CYYH/lhmh

cc AECOM head office – Mr Ivan Tsang (email: ivan.tsang@aecom.com)
AECOM – Mr Brad C W Chan (email: c3-srec4@arqaecom.com)
Lam Environmental Services Limited – Mr Sam Lam (email: kclam@lamenviro.com)

Email: info@anewr.com Web: www.anewr.com





Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | IN | TRODUCTION | 7 |
|-----------|--|---|------|
| | 1.1 1.2 | Scope of the ReportStructure of the Report | |
| 2 | | ROJECT BACKGROUND | |
| | 2.1 | Background | 9 |
| | 2.2 | Scope of the Project and Site Description | |
| | 2.3 | Project Organization and Contact Personnel | |
| | 2.4 | Construction Activities | 10 |
| 3 | ST | TATUS OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE | 12 |
| | 3.1 3.2 | Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Proje Status of Submission under the EP-513/2016 | |
| 4 | MONI | ITORING REQUIREMENTS | 14 |
| | 4.1 | Noise Monitoring | 14 |
| | 4.2 | Air Monitoring | |
| | 4.3 | Water Quality Monitoring | 19 |
| 5. | MONI | ITORING RESULTS | 23 |
| | 5.1 | Noise Monitoring Results | 23 |
| | 5.2 | Air Monitoring Results | |
| | 5.3 | Water Quality Monitoring Results | |
| | 5.4 | Waste Management | 24 |
| 6. | COM | PLIANCE AUDIT | 26 |
| | 6.1 | Noise Monitoring | 26 |
| | 6.2 | Air Quality Monitoring | 26 |
| | 6.3 | Water Quality Monitoring | |
| | 6.4 | Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance | ce27 |
| | 6.5 | Summary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on | 27 |
| | | non-compliance | |
| 7. | ENVI | RONMENTAL SITE AUDIT | 28 |
| 8. | COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION3 | | |
| 9. | CONC | CLUSION | 31 |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Schodula 2 Designated Projects under this Project |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2.1 | Schedule 2 Designated Projects under this Project |
| Table 2.2 | Contact Details of Key Personnel |
| Table 3.1 | Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project |
| Table 3.2 | Summary of submission status under EP-513/2016 |
| Table 4.1 | Noise Monitoring Station |
| Table 4.2 | Noise Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 4.3 | Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring |
| Table 4.4 | Air Monitoring Station |
| Table 4.5 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 4.6 | Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring |
| Table 4.7 | Marine Water Quality Stations for Water Quality Monitoring |
| Table 4.8 | Water Quality Monitoring Equipment |
| Table 4.9 | Action and Limit Level for Water Quality Monitoring |
| Table 5.1 | Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials |
| Table 5.2 | Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes |
| Table 7.1 | Summary of Environmental Inspections |
| Table 7.2 | Summary of Lanscape Inspections |
| Table 8.1 | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints |
| Table 8.2 | Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions |
| Table 9.1 | Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Reporting 2 Months |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 | Project Layout |
|------------|---|
| | |
| Figure 2.2 | Project Organization Chart |
| Figure 4.1 | Locations of Noise Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2) |
| Figure 4.2 | Locations of Noise Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3) |
| Figure 4.3 | Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2) |
| Figure 4.4 | Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3) |
| Figure 4.5 | Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2) |
| Figure 4.6 | Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3) |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| LIOI OI AII L | |
|---------------|---|
| Appendix 3.1 | Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule |
| Appendix 4.1 | Action and Limit Level |
| Appendix 4.2 | Copies of Calibration Certificates |
| Appendix 4.3 | Wind data extracted from HKO Automatic Weather Station |
| Appendix 5.1 | Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Month |
| Appendix 5.2 | Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix 5.3 | Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix 5.4 | Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations |
| Appendix 5.5 | Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table |
| Appendix 6.1 | Event and Action Plans |
| Appendix 6.2 | Summary for Notification of Exceedance |
| Appendix 8.1 | Complaint Log |
| Appendix 9.1 | Construction Programme of Individual Contracts |
| | |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017
Environmental Team for
Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site
Road Improvement Works
Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- i. This is the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Monthly Report June 2019 of Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works under Environmental Permit no. EP-513/2016 (Hereafter as "the Project"). The construction works of the Project was commenced on 2 November 2018 and the tentative completion date is end of 2023. This is the 8th EM&A report presenting the environmental monitoring findings and information recorded during the period of 1 June 2019 to 30 June 2019. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.
- ii. In the reporting month, the principal work activities conducted are as follow:

Works in Road Improvement Works 1 (RIW1)

- Piling platform 2 concrete block laying in progress;
- Civil works for gasmain diversion under new Slip Road 2;
- Preparation works for pre-drill work of CT5 are in-progress;

Works in Road Improvement Works 2 (RIW2)

- Formation works and soil nail works for Slope D3 works in progress;
- Relocation of existing highway lighting along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) was completed; Modification of existing central median along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) is working in-progress;
- Relocation of District Welcome Signboard in progress;

Works in Road Improvement Works 3 (RIW3)

- Form haul-road at slope D1 is in-progress;
- Rock excavation, form haul road and tree felling works at slope D3 are in-progress;
- Modification works to junction of Lin Tak Road and Sau Mau Ping Road for construction of temporary safety fence.
- Construction of temporary safety fence along Lin Tak Road.
- Form the temporary access road to facilitate the rock cutting works at Slope D3;
- Form the haul road to implement the ELS works for Retaining Wall RWD2 at Slope D2;
- Construction of ELS works for construction of Retaining Wall RWD2 at Slope D2;

Air Quality Monitoring

- iii. 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring was conducted at eight monitoring stations. The sampling frequency is 3 times in every 6 days in the reporting month.
- iv. No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

Noise Monitoring



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- v. Noise monitoring was conducted at five noise monitoring stations once per week in the reporting month.
- vi. No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

Water Quality Monitoring

- vii. Water quality monitoring was conducted at four monitoring stations three days per week in the reporting month.
- viii. The water quality monitoring for AC1, AC2 and AC3 has been started conducting on 14 June 2019.
- ix. No water can be collected at Station AC1 on 17, 19, 21, 24, 26 and 28 June 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.
- x. Two (2) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.
 - One (1) suspend solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station I on 5 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.
 - Two (2) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.
 - One (1) pH limit level exceedance and One (1) action level exceedance were recorded at Station F on 14 June 2019 and 21 June 2019 respectively. Investigation of the exceedance was still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

For the exceedances in May, after investigation, the turbidity and suspended solid limit level exceedances on 24 May 2019 were project related. Meanwhile, the exceedances on 20, 22 and 27 May 2019 were not related to project activities.

Site Inspections and Audit

xi. The Environmental Team (ET) conducted weekly site inspections for the Contract on 6, 14, 21 and 28 June 2019. IEC attended the joint site inspection on 14 June 2019. No non-compliance was found during the site inspection while reminders on environmental measures were recommended.

Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

xii. No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

Reporting Changes

xiii. There are no particular reporting changes.

Future Key Issues

xiv. In coming reporting 2 months, the scheduled construction activities and the recommended mitigation measures are listed as follows:

Key Construction Works Recommended Mitigation Measures Piling platform 2 concrete block laying Dust control during dust generating works; in progress; Implementation of proper noise pollution control; Civil works for gasmain diversion under and new Slip Road 2; Provision of protection to ensure no runoff out of Preparation works for pre-drill work of site area or direct discharge into public drainage CT5 are in-progress; system. Formation works and soil nail works for Slope D3 works in progress; Relocation of existing highway lighting along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) was completed; Modification of existing central median along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) is working in-progress; Relocation **District** Welcome Signboard in progress; Form haul-road at slope D1 is in-progress; Rock excavation, form haul road and tree felling works at slope D3 are in-progress; Modification works to junction of Lin Tak Road and Sau Mau Ping Road for construction of temporary safety fence. Construction of temporary safety fence along Lin Tak Road. Form the temporary access road to



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

| Key Construction Works | Recommended Mitigation Measures |
|--|---------------------------------|
| facilitate the rock cutting works at Slope | |
| D3; | |
| Form the haul road to implement the | |
| ELS works for Retaining Wall RWD2 at | |
| Slope D2; | |
| Construction of ELS works for | |
| construction of Retaining Wall RWD2 at | |
| Slope D2. | |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope of the Report

- 1.1.1. Lam Environmental Services Limited (LES) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) under Environmental Permit (EP) no. EP-513/2016 to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme as stipulated in the EM&A Manual of the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Development of Anderson Road Quarry site Road Improvement Works (Register No.: AEIAR-195/2016).
- 1.1.2. In accordance with Clause 3.4 stated in EP-513/2016, four hard copy and one electronic copy of the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period.
- 1.1.3. In accordance with Section 11.3.1 of the Project EM&A Manual, the first Monthly EM&A Report should be prepared and submitted to EPD within a month after the major construction works commences with the subsequently Monthly EM&A Reports due in 10 works day of the end of each reporting month.

1.2 Structure of the Report

- **Section 1** *Introduction* details the scope and structure of the report.
- **Section 2 Project Background** summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details of key personnel during the reporting period.
- Section 3 Status of Regulatory Compliance summarizes the status of valid Environmental Permits / Licenses during the reporting period.
- **Section 4** *Monitoring Requirements* summarizes all monitoring parameters, monitoring methodology and equipment, monitoring locations, monitoring frequency, criteria and respective event and action plan and monitoring programmes.
- **Section 5** *Monitoring Results* summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- **Section 6** Compliance Audit summarizes the auditing of monitoring results, all exceedances environmental parameters.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

Section 7 Environmental Site Audit – summarizes the findings of weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period, with a review of any relevant follow-up actions within the reporting period.

Section 8 Complaints, Notification of summons and Prosecution – summarizes the cumulative statistics on complaints, notification of summons and prosecution

Section 9 Conclusion

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017
Environmental Team for
Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site
Road Improvement Works
Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

2 Project Background

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1. The Development of Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site is to provide land and the associated infrastructures for the proposed land uses at the existing ARQ site at the north-eastern of East Kowloon.
- 2.1.2. In addition to the site formation and infrastructure works within the ARQ site, a new bus-to-bus interchange (BBI) at the toll plaza of Tseung Kwan O Tunnel and a series of associated off-site road improvement works and pedestrian connectivity facilities are also proposed to mitigate the potential cumulative traffic impact arising from the proposed ARQ development.
- 2.1.3. The Project under Environmental Permit (EP) (EP No. EP-513/2016) is for the three associated of-site road improvement works which comprises: (i) improvement of junction of (J/O) Lin Tak Road / Sau Mau Ping Road (RIW3) (ii) widening and improvement of sections of Clear Water Bay Road and On Sau Road (RIW2); and (iii) widening and improvement of sections of New Clear Water Bay Road and Shun Lee Tsuen Road (RIW1). The location of the Project is shown Figure 2.1.

2.2 Scope of the Project and Site Description

2.2.1. The project contains various Schedule 2 Designated Projects (DPs) that, under the EIAO, require EPs to be granted by the DEP before they may be either constructed or operated.
Table 2.1 summarises the DPs under this Project.

Table 2.1 Schedule 2 Designated Projects under this Project

| Item | Designated Project | EIAO Reference |
|------|---|-------------------------|
| DP2 | A road which is an expressway, trunk road, primary | Schedule 2, Part I, A.1 |
| | distributor road or district distributor road including new | |
| | roads, and major extensions or improvements to existing | |
| | road | |

2.3 Project Organization and Contact Personnel

2.3.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the overall project controllers for the Project. For the construction phase of the Project, Project Engineer, Contractor(s), Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker are appointed to manage and control environmental issues.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

2.3.2 The proposed project organization and lines of communication with respect to environmental protection works are shown in <u>Figure 2.2.</u> Key personnel and contact particulars are summarized in **Table 2.2**:

Table 2.2 Contact Details of Key Personnel

| Party | Role | Post | Name | Contact No. | Contact Fax |
|--|---|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| AECOM | Engineer's Representative | Senior Resident Engineer | Mr. Brad Chan | 5506 0068 | 2473 3221 |
| Chun Wo – China Metallurgical Group | Contractor | Site Agent | Mr. Chris Lam | 9801 9974 | 3965 9854 |
| Corporation Joint Venture | | Environmental Officer | Miss King Lam | 9570 6187 | |
| ANewR Consulting Limited | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Mr. Adi Lee | 2618 2836 | 3007 8648 |
| Lam Environmental Services Limited | Environmental Team (ET) | Environmental Team Leader (ETL) | Mr. Sam Lam | 6178 3179 | 2882 3331 |

2.4 Construction Activities

2.4.1 In the reporting month, the principal work activities conducted are as follow.

Works in Road Improvement Works 1 (RIW1)

- Piling platform 2 concrete block laying in progress;
- Civil works for gasmain diversion under new Slip Road 2;
- Preparation works for pre-drill work of CT5 are in-progress;

Works in Road Improvement Works 2 (RIW2)

- Formation works and soil nail works for Slope D3 works in progress;
- Relocation of existing highway lighting along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) was completed; Modification of existing central median along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) is working in-progress;
- Relocation of District Welcome Signboard in progress;

Works in Road Improvement Works 3 (RIW3)

- Form haul-road at slope D1 is in-progress;
- Rock excavation, form haul road and tree felling works at slope D3 are in-progress;
- Modification works to junction of Lin Tak Road and Sau Mau Ping Road for construction of temporary safety fence.
- Construction of temporary safety fence along Lin Tak Road.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- Form the temporary access road to facilitate the rock cutting works at Slope D3;
- Form the haul road to implement the ELS works for Retaining Wall RWD2 at Slope D2;
- Construction of ELS works for construction of Retaining Wall RWD2 at Slope D2
- 2.4.2 In coming reporting 2 months, the scheduled construction activities are listed as follows:
 - Construction works at Slip Road 2;
 - Civil provision for gas-main relocation at Slip Road 2;
 - Piling Platform 1 & 2 Construction (RIW1);
 - No fine concrete construction at Type 8 area (RIW1);
 - ELS for Type 1 & 1a construction (RIW1); and
 - Site clearance at Substation (RIW1);
 - Pull-out test for soil nail construction;
 - Soil nail construction commencement;
 - Removal of existing central median on Clear Water Bay Road;
 - · Relocation of for Welcome sign board; and
 - Soil excavation to form slope profile for soil nail construction;
 - Construct site access at Slope D1, D2 and D3;
 - Haul construction for Slope D1 and D3
 - Stage 1 rock excavation of Slope D3;
 - Pre-drill works of Slope D1

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

3 Status of Regulatory Compliance

3.1 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project

3.1.1. A summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project is shown in *Table 3.1*.

Table 3.1 Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project

| Permits and/or Licences | Permit. No. / Account No. | Valid From | Expiry Date | Status |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | Form NA submitted to EPD on 29 May 2018. | | | |
| Environmental Permit | EP-513/2016 | 20 Jul 2016 | N/A | Valid |
| Construction Noise Permit (CNP) | | | | |
| Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Billing Account for Disposal | | | | |
| Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | 7031075 | 20 Jul 2018 | End of the Project | Valid |
| Chemical Waste Registration | | | | |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Road to Lin Tak Road | 5213-294-C4239-04 | 6 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Area between Him Tat House and Sau Mau Ping Salt Water Service Reservoir | 5213-293-C4239-05 | 6 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for New Clear Water Bay Road (Start from 46 Clear Water Bay Road, End at Shun Lee Tsuen Road and San Lee Street | 5213-291-C4239-02 | 13 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for South Part of Hiu Ming Street Playground | 5213-294-C4239-03 | 6 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for Clear Water Bay Road and New Clear Water Bay Road (From the intersection of Fei Ngo Shan Road to Tai Pan Court) and on Sau Road (From the intersection of New Clear Water Bay Road to 9 Anderson Road | 5213-831-C4239-08 | 6 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Area Between Anderson Road and On Sau Road, next to Oi Tat House | 5213-292-C4239-06 | 6 Aug 2018 | N/A | Valid |
| Water Discharge Licence | | | | |

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

| Permits and/or Licences | Permit. No. / Account No. | Valid From | Expiry Date | Status |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Lin Tak Road to Sau Mau Ping Road including Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Toll Plaza | WT00032742-2018 | 18 Jan 2019 | 31 Jan 2024 | Valid |
| Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Sau Mau Ping Area between Anderson Road and On Sau Road, next to Oi Tat House | WT00033223-2019 | 31 Jan 2019 | 31 Jan 2024 | Valid |
| Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Sau Mau Ping Area at south part of Hiu Ming Street playground | WT00033224-2019 | 21 Mar 2019 | 31 Mar 2024 | Valid |
| Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for intersection of Fei Ngo Shan Road to Tai Pan Court and on Sau Road (From the intersection of New Clear Water Bay Road to 9 Anderson Road | WT00033299-2019 | 5 Mar 2019 | 31 Mar 2024 | Valid |

3.2 Status of Submission under the EP-513/2016

3.2.1. A summary of the current status on submission under EP-513/2016 is shown in *Table 3.2*.

Table 3.2 Summary of submission status under EP-513/2016

| EP Condition | Submission | Date of Submission |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Condition 1.12 | Condition 1.12 Notification of Commencement Date of Works | |
| Condition 2.10 Management Organization of Main Construction Companies | | 27 September 2018 |
| Condition 2.11 | Submission of Design Drawing(s) of the Project | 28 September 2018 |
| Condition 2.12 | Submission of Landscape and Visual Mitigation Plan(s) | 28 September 2018 |
| Condition 2.14 (a) and 2.15 | Submission of Detailed Vegetation Survey Report (2nd submission) | 7 December 2018 |
| Condition 2.14 (b) and 2.15 | Submission of Transplantation Proposal | 7 December 2018 |
| Condition 3.3 | Submission of Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report (2nd submission) | 18 December 2018 |
| Condition 2.14 (c) | Transplantation Completion Report | 3 May 2019 |
| Condition 3.4 | Monthly EM&A Report (May) | 17 June 2019 |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

4 Monitoring Requirements

4.1 Noise Monitoring

NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

4.1.1. The noise monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.1* and *Figure 4.1*& 4.2.

Table 4.1 Noise Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station ID | Monitoring Location | Measurement Type | Level (in terms of no. of floor) |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| NMC01 | Kei Shun Special School | Façade | G/F |
| NMC02 | Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6 | Façade | 3/F podium |
| NMC03 | Sienna Garden Block 6 | Free-field | G/F |
| NMC04 | Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House | Free-field | 3/F podium |
| NMC05 | Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House | Façade | G/F |

NOISE MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.1.2. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at all the designated monitoring stations. The monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. The following is an initial guide on the regular monitoring frequency for each station on a weekly basis when noise generating activities are underway:
 - One set of measurements between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays (six consecutive Leg/5min readings);
 - One set of measurements between 1900-2300 hours;
 - One set of measurements between 2300-0700 hours of next day; and
 - One set of measurements between 0700-2300 hours on holidays (three consecutive Leg/5min readings).
- 4.1.3. For the latter 3 sets of measurements specified in Section 4.1.2 above, one set of measurements shall at least include 3 consecutive Leq (5min) results.
- 4.1.4. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L10 and L90 shall also be obtained for reference.
- 4.1.5. If a school exists near the construction activity, noise monitoring shall be carried out at the monitoring stations for the schools during the examination periods. The ET leader shall liaise with the school's personnel and the examination authority to ascertain the exact dates and times of all examination periods during the course of the contract.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.1.6. Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at the designated monitoring locations. The sound level meters shall comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator shall be deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and Model Series Number | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Integrated Sound Level Mater | NTi XL2 | A2A-15269-E0 |
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | Larson Davis LxT | 0005098 |
| Acoustic Calibrator | Larson Davis CAL200 | 13098 13437 |

4.1.7. The calibration certificates of the noise monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix 4.2.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.1.8. Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The monitoring station shall normally be at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receiver's building façade and be at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- (b) Façade measurements were made at the monitoring locations. For free-field measurement, a correction factor of +3 dB (A) would be applied.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
- (e) Frequency weighting: A, Time weighting: Fast, Measurement time set: continuous 5 mins
- (f) Prior and after to the noise measurement, the meter was checked using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB (A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than ±1 dB (A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (g) Noise measurements shall not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

4.1.9. Maintenance and Calibration



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The sound level meter and calibrator were calibrated at yearly intervals.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.1.10. Noise Standards for Daytime Construction Activities are specified under EIAO-TM. The Action and Limit levels for construction noise are defined in **Table 4.3** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should non-compliance of the criteria occurs, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Table 4.3 Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

| | | Limit Level (dB(A)) | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Monitoring Station | Action Level | 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | 0700-2300 hrs on holidays (including Sundays); and 1900-2300 hrs on all days ² | 2300-0700 hrs of all days ² | |
| NMC01 | | 65 / 70 ¹ | | | |
| NMC02 | When one | 75 | | | |
| NMC03 | documented complaint is | 75 | 60 / 65 / 70 ³ | 45 / 50 / 55 ³ | |
| NMC04 | received | 75 | | | |
| NMC05 | | 75 | | | |

Remark 1: Limit level of NMC01 - Kei Shun Special School reduce to 65 dB (A) during examination periods if any.

Remark 2: Construction noise during restricted hours is under the control of Noise Control Ordinance Limit Level to be selected based on Area Sensitivity Rating.

Remark 3: Limit Level for restricted hour monitoring shall act as reference level only. Investigation would be conducted on CNP compliance if exceedance recorded during restricted hour noise monitoring period.

4.2 Air Monitoring

AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.2.1. The air monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.4* and <u>Figure 4.3</u> & <u>4.4.</u>

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

Table 4.4 Air Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Station | Monitoring Location | Level (in terms of no. of floor) |
|--------------------|--|--|
| NCWBR_AMS-1 | Shun Lee Fire Station | 2/F Roof |
| NCWBR_AMS-2 | Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House | G/F |
| NCWBR_AMS-3 | Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters (Block 6) | 4/F podium |
| NCWBR_AMS-4 | Sienna Garden | G/F |
| NCWBR_AMS-5 | Shun Chi Court Shun Fung House | Roof |
| LTR_AMS-1 | St Edward's Catholic Primary School | G/F |
| LTR_AMS-2 | Environmental Protection Department's Restored Landfill Site Office | G/F |
| LTR_AMS-3 | Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House | 3/F podium |

AIR MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.2.2. One-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality.
- 4.2.3. The sampling frequency of at least three times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.2.4. Monitoring Procedures

- (a) Check the calibration period of portable direct reading dust meter prior to monitoring (The direct reading dust meter was calibrated at 2-years interval and checked with High Volume Sampler (HVS) yearly.)
- (b) Record the site condition near / around the monitoring stations.
- (c) Install the portable direct reading dust meter to the monitoring location.
- (d) Slide the power switch to turn the power on.
- (e) Check of portable direct reading dust meter to ensure the equipment operation in normal condition.
- (f) Select the period of measurement to 60mins.
- (g) Check and set the correct time.
- (h) Select the appropriate unit display for the equipment.
- (i) Slide the power switch to turn the power off when the monitoring period ended (3 times 1 hour TSP monitoring per day).
- (j) Uninstall the portable direct reading dust meter



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017
Environmental Team for
Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site
Road Improvement Works
Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- (k) Collected the sampled data for analysis.
- (I) Remark: Procedures (c) to (h) may be different subject to the brands and models of portable direct reading dust meter

4.2.5. Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The direct reading dust meter was calibrated at 2-years interval and checked with High Volume Sampler (HVS) yearly to determine the accuracy and validity of the results measured.
- (b) Checking of direct reading dust meter will be carried out in order to determine the conversion factor between the direct reading dust meter and the standard equipment, HVS. The comparison check is to be considered valid based on correlation coefficient checked by HOKLAS laboratory.
- 4.2.6. The 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed by using portable direct reading dust meters at each designated monitoring station. The brand and model of the equipment are given in **Table 4.5**.

Table 4.5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and model | Series Number |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Portable direct reading dust meter | Met One BT- 645 | X19299 X19297 X19295 R22586 R22584 |
| | Met One AEROCET 831 | W15448 W15449 W16848 R14332 |

4.2.7. The calibration certificates of the air quality monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix 4.2.

WIND DATA

4.2.8. The representative wind data from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station and Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station were obtained covering the 1-hr TSP monitoring periods. The wind data were extracted and shown in Appendix 4.3.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.2.9. The Action and Limit levels for construction air quality are defined in **Table 4.6** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should non-compliance of the air quality criteria occur, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Table 4.6 Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Locations | 1-hour TSP Level in µg/m3 | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Action Level | Limit Level | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-1 | 284.4 | 500.0 | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-2 | 282.4 | 500.0 | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-3 | 287.9 | 500.0 | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-4 | 281.6 | 500.0 | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-5 | 270.0 | 500.0 | | |
| LTR_AMS-1 | 272.1 | 500.0 | | |
| LTR_AMS-2 | 281.1 | 500.0 | | |
| LTR_AMS-3 | 285.1 | 500.0 | | |

4.3 Water Quality Monitoring

WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.3.1. Water quality monitoring was undertaken at 4 monitoring stations in the reporting month. The proposed water quality monitoring stations of the Project are shown in *Table 4.7* and *Figure* 4.5 & 4.6.

Table 4.7 Marine Water Quality Stations for Water Quality Monitoring

| Inland Water | Stations | Description | Easting | Northing |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Channelized nullah | E | Upstream Control Station | 841329 | 821753 |
| across the Project site | F | Downstream Impact Station | 841469 | 821635 |
| Ma Yau Tong Stream | Н | Upstream Control Station | 843008 | 819880 |
| ma rad rong cardam | I | Downstream Impact Station | 842652 | 819573 |

WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.3.2. The levels of dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and pH shall be measured in situ while suspended solids (SS) is determined by laboratory analysis at all the designated monitoring stations.
- 4.3.3. In association with the water quality parameters, other relevant data shall also be recorded, such as monitoring location / position, time, water temperature, salinity, DO saturation, weather conditions, and any special phenomena underway near the monitoring station.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- 4.3.4. The sampling frequency of at least three days per week should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. Upon completion of the construction works, the monitoring exercise at the designated monitoring locations should be continued for four weeks in the same manner as the impact monitoring.
- 4.3.5. The interval between two sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours except where there are exceedances of Action and/or Limit Levels, in which case the monitoring frequency will be increased.
- 4.3.6. Replicate in-situ measurements should be carried out in each sampling event.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Dissolved Oxygen And Temperature Measuring Equipment

- 4.3.7. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof dissolved oxygen measuring instrument complete with cable, sensor, comprehensive operation manuals, and use a DC power source. It should be capable of measuring:
 - a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation
 - a temperature of 0-45 degree Celsius
- 4.3.8. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. Sufficient stocks of spare electrodes and cables should be available for replacement where necessary. (e.g. YSI model 59 meter, YSI 5739 probe, YSI 5795A submersible stirrer with reel and cable or an approved similar instrument).
- 4.3.9. Should salinity compensation not be build-in in the DO equipment, in-situ salinity shall be measured to calibrate the DO equipment prior to each DO measurement.

Turbidity Measurement Instrument

4.3.10. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof turbidity-measuring instrument complete with comprehensive operation manual. The equipment should use a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU and be complete with a cable (e.g. Hach model 2100P or an approved similar instrument).

<u>Sampler</u>

4.3.11. Due to low water level as mentioned in Section 6.4.3 of the EIA report, bucket sampler (Approximate 1L) will be use instead of water sampler in order to obtain surface water sample without disturb the stream sediment and collect representative results.

<u>Salinity</u>

4.3.12. A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-70 ppt shall be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each of monitoring location.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

MONITORING METHODOLOGY

4.3.13. Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The condition near the monitoring stations shall be observed and recorded on the data log sheet.
- (b) Check of sensors and electrodes with certified standard solutions before each use.
- (c) Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter should be carried out before measurement.
- (d) Sample would be taken using bucket sampler at surface level.
- (e) Transfer the sampled water carefully into cleaned water bottles (2x 1000ml) provided by the laboratory at the spot after the collection of the water sample for the subsequent laboratory Suspended Solid testing.
- (f) Transfer the sampled water from the bucket sampler to the rinsed water container for in-situ measurement (In case of the in-situ measurement cannot be carried at spot due to safety and adverse weather condition, sampled water from the bucket sampler will be transfer to cleaned water bottles provided by laboratory. Then, In-situ measurement will be conducted at a safe location which sampled water inside cleaned water bottle will be transfer to the rinsed water container for in-situ measurement) In-situ measurement shall be measured in duplicate.
- (g) Parameters including Water Temperature (°C), pH (units), Salinity (ppt), DO (mg/L), DO saturation (%) will be measured by the Multifunctional Meter and Turbidity (NTU) will be measured by turbid meter. (Water Temperature and Salinity will be measured as reference parameters)
- (h) Record the result on the data log sheet and record any special finding during / after in-situ measurement.
- (i) The water sample bottles will be stored in a cool box (at cooled to 4°C without being frozen), which shall be delivered to HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for further testing to determine the level of SS.

4.3.14. Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The responses of sensors and electrodes of the water quality monitoring equipment were cleaned and checked at regular intervals.
- (b) DO meter (Multifunctional Meter) and turbid meter was certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three monthly intervals.

4.3.15. Brand and model of the equipment are given in **Table 4.8**.

Table 4.8 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and model | Series Number |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Multifunctional Meter | YSI Professional Plus | 14M100277 17F100236 |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

| Turbid meter Xin Rui WGZ-3B |
|-----------------------------|
|-----------------------------|

4.3.16. The calibration certificates of the water quality monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix 4.2.

LABORATORY MEASUREMENT / ANALYSIS

4.3.17. Analysis of suspended solids has been carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, which is ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.3.18. The Action and Limit levels for construction water quality are defined in **Table 4.9** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should the monitoring results of the water quality parameters at any designated monitoring station exceed the water quality criteria, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Table 4.9 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring | ring Surface pH | | Surfa | ce DO | Surf | ace | Surfa | ce SS |
|------------|-----------------|------------|--------|-------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Station | | | (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | (mg/L) | |
| | Action | Limit | Action | Limit | Action | Limit | Action | Limit |
| | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level |
| E | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Beyond | Beyond | | | | | | |
| F | the range | the range | 5.8 | 5.5 | 24.4 | 32.7 | 17.0 | 23.8 |
| | of 6.6-8.4 | of 6.5-8.5 | | | | | | |
| н | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| | Beyond | Beyond | | | | | | |
| I | the range | the range | 5.5 | 5.4 | 206.9 | 214.2 | 172.8 | 201.4 |
| | of 6.6-8.4 | of 6.5-8.5 | | | | | | |

^{*}Remarks:

The value of 1.0mg/L was taken as the value for measurement with suspended solid level of <1.0mg/L for Action and Limit level calculation.

It is recommended that upstream monitoring station (monitoring station E and H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only. Action and limit level would not be establish using the baseline data.

am

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017
Environmental Team for
Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site
Road Improvement Works
Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

5. Monitoring Results

- 5.0.1 The environmental monitoring will be implemented based on the division of works areas of each designed projects. Overall layout showing work areas and monitoring stations is shown in <u>Figure 2.1</u> and Figure 4.1 4.6 respectively.
- 5.0.2 The environment monitoring schedules for reporting month and coming month are presented in **Appendix 5.1.**

5.1 Noise Monitoring Results

- 5.1.1 All noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.1.2 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting month.
- 5.1.3 There was no examination period for NMC01 during the reporting period.
- 5.1.4 Noise monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized.
 Details of noise monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in <u>Appendix</u>
 5.2.

5.2 Air Monitoring Results

- 5.2.1 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.2.2 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 5.2.3 Air quality monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized.

 Details of air monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in Appendix 5.3.

5.3 Water Quality Monitoring Results

- 5.3.1 All water quality monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.3.2 The water quality monitoring for AC1, AC2 and AC3 has been started conducting on 14 June 2019.
- 5.3.3 No water can be collected at Station AC1 on 17, 19, 21, 24, 26 and 28 June 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.

23

Two (2) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

One (1) suspend solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station I on 5 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

Two (2) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

One (1) pH limit level exceedance and One (1) action level exceedance were recorded at Station F on 14 June 2019 and 21 June 2019 respectively. Investigation of the exceedance was still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

For the exceedances in May, after investigation, the turbidity and suspended solid limit level exceedances on 24 May 2019 were project related. Meanwhile, the exceedances on 20, 22 and 27 May 2019 were not related to project activities.

5.3.4 Water quality monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. Details of water quality monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in Appendix 5.4.

5.4 Waste Management

5.4.1 The quantities of waste for disposal in the Reporting Period are summarized in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2**. The Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in <u>Appendix 5.5</u>. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5.1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

| Waste Type | Quantity (this month) | Quantity (Project commencement to the end of last month) | Cumulative Quantity-to-Date | Disposal Location |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (Inert) (in '000m3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000m3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| Reused in other Projects (Inert) (in '000m3) | 0.488 | 0.563 | 1.051 | Nil |
| Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) | 0.695 | 4.538 | 5.233 | TKO137 |

24



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

| Waste Type | Quantity (this month) | Quantity (Project commencement to the end of last month) | Cumulative Quantity-to-Date | Disposal Location |
|-------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| (in '000m3) | | | | |

Table 5.2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

| Waste Type | Quantity (this month) | Quantity (Project commencement to the end of last month) | Cumulative Quantity-to-Date | Disposal Location |
|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Metals (in '000kg) | 0 | 0.029 | 0.029 | Nil (waste recycle was arranged) |
| Paper / Cardboard Packing (in '000kg) | 0 | 0.604 | 0.604 | Nil (waste recycle was arranged) |
| Plastics (in '000kg) | 0 | 0.040 | 0.040 | Nil (waste recycle was arranged) |
| Chemical Wastes (in '000kg) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| General Refuses (in '000m3) | 0.018 | 0.026 | 0.044 | SENT |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017
Environmental Team for
Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site
Road Improvement Works
Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

6. Compliance Audit

- 6.0.1. The Event Action Plan for construction noise, air quality and water quality are presented in *Appendix 6.1*.
- 6.0.2. The summary of exceedance is presented in **Appendix 6.2**.

6.1 Noise Monitoring

- 6.1.1 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 6.2 Air Quality Monitoring
- 6.2.1 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 6.3 Water Quality Monitoring
- 6.3.1 The water quality monitoring for AC1, AC2 and AC3 has been started conducting on 14 June 2019.
- 6.3.2 No water can be collected at Station AC1 on 17, 19, 21, 24, 26 and 28 June 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.
- 6.3.3 Two (2) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.
 - One (1) suspend solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station I on 5 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.
 - Two (2) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 10 and 14 June 2019. Investigation of the exceedances were still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

One (1) pH limit level exceedance and One (1) action level exceedance were recorded at Station F on 14 June 2019 and 21 June 2019 respectively. Investigation of the exceedance was still in progress and would be reported in the coming report.

For the exceedances in May, after investigation, the turbidity and suspended solid limit level exceedances on 24 May 2019 were project related. Meanwhile, the exceedances on 20, 22 and 27 May 2019 were not related to project activities.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

- 6.4 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance
- 6.4.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.
- 6.5 Summary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on non-compliance
- 6.5.1 There was no particular action taken since no non-compliance was recorded in the reporting period.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

7. Environmental Site Audit

- 7.0.1. Within this reporting month, weekly environmental site audits were conducted on 6, 14, 21 and 28 June 2019. IEC attended the joint site inspection on 14 June 2019.
- 7.0.2. No non-compliance was found during the site inspection while reminders on environmental measures were recommended. Results and findings of these inspections in this reporting month are listed below in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Summary of Environmental Inspections

| Date | Item | Reminder(s)/ Observation(s) | Action taken by Contractor | Outcome |
|-----------|-------------|--|--|---|
| 6-6-2019 | 20190606_01 | The height of the noise barrier was obviously not enough to cover the work zone. The contractor was required to provide enough and proper mitigation measures to maintain the function of noise barrier and noise reduction at RIW3 (Lin Tak Road) | Due to the onsite situation was not possible to raise the noise barrier for safety reason. The head of the driller was wrapped with noise reduction material and the cover of the engine by noise barrier was ensured. | Completion as observed on 21 June 2019. |
| 6-6-2019 | 20190606_02 | Water spraying is needed for the drilling works at RIW3 for dust suppression. | Water was sprayed during drilling works. | Completion as observed on 21 June 2019. |
| 21-6-2019 | 20190621_01 | The U-channel at RIW1 need to be cleared in order to maintain the function of drainage. | The materials in U-channel were cleared. | Completion as observed on 28 June 2019. |
| 21-6-2019 | 20190621_02 | The gullies at RIW1 need to be well protected to avoid materials other than storm water entering the gullies. | The gullies were covered. | Completion as observed on 28 June 2019. |

- 7.0.3. Within this reporting month, biweekly landscape site audits were conducted on 5 and 19 June 2019.
- 7.0.4. No non-compliance was found during the landscape site inspection. **Results and findings of these inspections in this reporting month are listed below in** Table 7.2.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

Table 7.1 Summary of Landscape site inspections

| Date | Item | Reminder(s)/ Observation(s) | Action taken by Contractor | Outcome |
|----------|-------------|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| 5-6-2019 | 20190605_01 | Storage of equipment/ materials should not be stored within Tree Protection Zone. should be removed from the site. | The materials were still observed. | On-going |

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

8. Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

- 8.0.1. No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 8.0.2. The details of cumulative complaint log and updated summary of complaints are presented in **Appendix 8.1**.
- 8.0.3. Cumulative statistic on complaints and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Table 8.1** and **Table 8.2** respectively.

Table 8.1 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

| Reporting Period | No. of Complaints |
|---|-------------------|
| June 2019 | 0 |
| Project commencement to the end of last reporting month | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 8.2 Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

| Environmental Parameters | Cumulative No. Brought Forward | No. of Successful Prosecutions this month (Offence Date) | Cumulative No. Project-to-Date |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Air | - | 0 | 0 |
| Noise | - | 0 | 0 |
| Water | - | 0 | 0 |
| Waste | - | 0 | 0 |
| Total | - | 0 | 0 |

am

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

9. Conclusion

- 9.0.1. The EM&A programme was carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual requirements, minor alterations to the programme proposed were made in response to changing circumstances.
- 9.0.2. The performance of the environmental management system of the previous three months (quarter) was generally satisfied. Mitigation measures according to the environmental mitigation implementation schedule and the EIA were generally implemented by the Contractor. Hence, the EM&A programme was considered effective and shall be maintained. The status of the water quality station shall be kept in view, as station E usually was dried out.
- 9.0.3. The scheduled construction activities and the recommended mitigation measures for the coming 2 months are listed in **Table 9.1**. The construction programmes of the Project are provided in **Appendix 9.1**.

Table 9.1 Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Reporting 2 Months

| Key Construction Works | Recommended Mitigation Measures | |
|---|--|--|
| Piling platform 2 concrete block laying in progress; Civil works for gasmain diversion under new Slip Road 2; Preparation works for pre-drill work of CT5 are in-progress; Formation works and soil nail works for Slope D3 works in progress; Relocation of existing highway lighting along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) was completed; Modification of existing central median along Clear Water Bay Road (Portion C) is working in-progress; Relocation of District Welcome Signboard in progress; Form haul-road at slope D1 is in-progress; Rock excavation, form haul road and tree felling works at slope D3 are in-progress; | Dust control during dust generating works; Implementation of proper noise pollution control; and Provision of protection to ensure no runoff out of site area or direct discharge into public drainage system. | |



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (June 2019)

| Key Construction Works | Recommended Mitigation Measures | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Modification works to junction of Lin Tak | | |
| Road and Sau Mau Ping Road for | | |
| construction of temporary safety fence. | | |
| Construction of temporary safety fence | | |
| along Lin Tak Road. | | |
| Form the temporary access road to | | |
| facilitate the rock cutting works at Slope | | |
| D3; | | |
| Form the haul road to implement the | | |
| ELS works for Retaining Wall RWD2 at | | |
| Slope D2; | | |
| Construction of ELS works for | | |
| construction of Retaining Wall RWD2 at | | |
| Slope D2; | | |
| Footing construction works at lower | | |
| portion are in-progress; | | |
| Preparation works, and temporary | | |
| staircase construction at upper portion | | |
| are in-progress; | | |
| Socketed-H piles construction at | | |
| PC-E11 are in-progress; | | |
| Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities | | |
| Systems A (PC-SYA) | | |
| Rock excavation for 2nd level and | | |
| associated rock mapping in-progress; | | |
| Socketed-H piles construction at | | |
| PC-SYB are in-progress; | | |
| Form haul road at upper portion is | | |
| in-progress; | | |
| Construction of R.C. works of public | | |
| toilet; | | |
| Shop-drawing for E&M works under | | |
| preparation | | |

Figure 2.1

Project Layout



Figure 2.2

Project Organization Chart

Project Organization Chart






Figure 4.1 to Figure 4.6

Locations of Monitoring Stations




| Monitoring Location ID | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| Noise Monitoring | Station (Construction Phase) |
| NMC01 | Kei Shun Special School |
| NMC02 | Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6 |
| NMC03 | Sienna Garden Block 6 |

Figure 4.1
Location of Noise Monitoring Station
(Construction Phase)
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)




| Monitoring Location ID | Description |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NMC04 | Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House |
| NMC05 | Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House |

Figure 4.2
Location of Noise Monitoring Station
(Construction Phase)
(for Road Improvement Work 3)




| Monitoring Station ID | EIA ID | Location | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NCWBR RIW | | | | | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-1 | AMS-1 ASLF-1 Shun Lee Fire Station | | | | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-2 | ASLE-21 | Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House | | | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-3 | ASLD-10 | Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters (Block 6) | | | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-4 | AFNS-3 | Sienna Garden | | | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-5 | ASCC-05 | Shun Chi Court Shun Fung House | | | | | |

Figure 4.3
Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)




| Monitoring Station ID | EIA ID | Location | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| LTR RIW | | | | | | | | |
| LTR_AMS-1 | LTR_AMS-1 ASECP-2 St Edward's Catholic Primary School | | | | | | | |
| LTR_AMS-2 | AEPD-01 | Environmental Protection Department's Restored Landfill Site Office | | | | | | |
| LTR_AMS-3 | APTE-14 | Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House | | | | | | |

Figure 4.4
Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 3)




| Inland Water | Stations | Description |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | Е | Upstream Control Station |
| Channelized nullah | F | Downstream Impact Station |
| across the Project | AC1 | Upstream Reference Station |
| site | AC2 | Upstream Reference Station |
| | AC3 | Upstream Reference Station |

Figure 4.5
Location of Water Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)



| Inland Water | Stations | Description |
|--------------|----------|-----------------|
| Ma Yau Tong | Н | Upstream |
| Stream | | Control Station |
| | I | Downstream |
| | | Impact Station |

Location of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3)



Appendix 3.1

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

APPENDIX C - IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Introduction

This chapter presents the implementation schedule of mitigation measures for the Project. **Table C.1** summarizes the details of the recommended mitigation measures for all works areas. For each recommended mitigation measure, both the location and timing for the mitigation measures have clearly been identified as well as the parties responsible for implementing the mitigation measures and for maintenance (where applicable).

Table C.1 Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures

| | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Location of the | Implementation | Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾ | | | | Relevant |
|-------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---|-----|---|
| EIA Ref. | | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| Air Quality | Impact (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 | Hourly watering with intensity of 0.0455 L/m ² (tentatively) on the active construction area so as to achieve a dust removal efficiency of 87.5%. | Active works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | EIAO-TM, AQOs |
| 4.7.2 | To minimize the dust impact to the surrounding ASRs, dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be incorporated to control dust emission from the site. Major control measures relevant to this Project are listed below, and they are recommended to be included in relevant contract documents. | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation |
| | Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; | | | | | | | |
| | Any dusty material remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; | | | | | | | |
| | A stockpile of dusty material should not extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; | | | | | | | |
| | The load of dusty materials on a vehicles leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak form the vehicle; | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | Impl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|----------|---|-----------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | Where practicable, vehicles washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; | | | | | | | |
| | When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; | | | | | | | |
| | The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; | | | | | | | |
| | Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; | | | | | | | |
| | Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; | | | | | | | |
| | Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; | | | | | | | |
| | Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; | | | | | | | |
| | Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides; | | | | | | | |

| | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Location of the | Implementation | Impl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|------|----------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; and | | | | | | | |
| | Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shortcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. | | | | | | | |
| Air Quality | Impact (Operational Phase) | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | N/A |
| Noise Impa | act (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 5.8.1 – 5.8.4 | Adoption of Quiet PMEs To reduce the noise impacts at the affected NSRs during normal daytime working hours, mitigation measures such as adopting quiet PME and construction noise barriers are recommended. | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | EIAO-TM |
| | Construction Noise Barriers | | | | | | | |
| | To alleviate the construction noise impact on the affected NSRs, construction noise barriers or enclosures would be erected to provide screening from the construction plant. | | | | | | | |
| Noise Impa | act (Operational Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 5.8.5 | Direct mitigation measures in the form of Vertical Noise Barriers, Cantilevered Noise Barriers, Semi-Enclosures and Full Enclosures are proposed on the Project Roads such that the noise level would be reduced to fulfil the EIAO requirements for RIW sites at: | Project roads | CEDD/Contractor | | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM |
| | Sau Mau Ping Road and Lin Tak Road, | | | | | | | |
| | J/O Clear Water Bay Road and On Sau Road and | | | | | | | |
| | New Clear Water Bay Road and Shun Lee Tsuen Road | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Location of the | Implementation | Imp | lementa | tion St | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|-----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----|---------|---------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| Water Qua | lity Impact (Construction Phase) | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 |
| 6.9.1 - | Construction Site Run-off and General Construction Activities | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | ProPECC PN 1/94 |
| 6.9.13 | Boring and Drilling Water | | | | | | | Construction Site Drainage |
| | Water used in ground boring and drilling for site investigation or rock / soil anchoring should as far as practicable be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. | | | | | | | TM-DSS Water Pollution |
| | Wheel Washing Water | | | | | | | Control Ordinance |
| | All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before they leave a construction site to minimize the deposition of earth, mud, debris on roads. A wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit if practicable and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out or removed before discharging into storm drains. The section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains. | | | | | | | |
| | Rubbish and Litter | | | | | | | |
| | Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | | | | | | | |
| | Construction Site Run-off | | | | | | | |
| | The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable to minimise surface run-off and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impact. | | | | | | | |
| | Surface run-off from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via adequately designed sand/silt removal facilities | | | | | | | |

| | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|----------|---|-----------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basins. Channels or earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided on site boundaries where necessary to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. Catchpits and perimeter channels should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks. | | | | | | | |
| | Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to prevent local flooding. Any practical options for the diversion and re-alignment of drainage should comply with both engineering and environmental requirements in order to provide adequate hydraulic capacity of all drains. Minimum distance of 100m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site run-off and the existing saltwater intakes. No effluent will be discharged into typhoon shelter. | | | | | | | |
| | • Construction works should be programmed to minimize soil excavation works in rainy seasons (April to September). If excavation in soil cannot be avoided in these months or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, for the purpose of preventing soil erosion, temporary exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Intercepting channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest / edge of excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place in such a way that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. | | | | | | | |
| | Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate drainage like intercepting channels should be provided where necessary. | | | | | | | |
| | Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in wet seasons is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater | | | | | | | |

| | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------|----------|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. Construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) on sites should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and to prevent storm run-off from getting into foul sewers. Discharge of surface run-off into foul sewers must always be prevented in order not to unduly overload the foul sewerage system. Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the | | | | | | | |
| | construction sites on a regular basis. Site Effluent There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for | | | | | | | |
| | discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning etc., can minimise water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD. | | | | | | | |
| 6.9.14 - 6.9.16 | Accidental Spillage and Potential Contamination of Surface Water and Groundwater Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| | wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations | | | | | | | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | ige ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|---|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. | | | | | | | (General) Regulation |
| | Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | | | | | | | The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes |
| | Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: | | | | | | | |
| | Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport; | | | | | | | |
| | Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents; and | | | | | | | |
| | Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. | | | | | | | |
| 6.9.17 - | Sewage Effluent from Construction Workforce | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | Water Pollution |
| 6.9.18 | The construction workforce on site will generate sewage. It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. | | | | | | | Control Ordinance |
| | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment. Regular environmental audit of the construction site will provide an effective control of any malpractices and can encourage continual improvement of environmental performance on site. It is anticipated that sewage generation during the construction phase of the project would not cause water | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the | s Agent Des C O D | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|-----|--------------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | pollution problem after undertaking all required measures. | | | | | | | |
| 6.9.19 | Construction Works in Close Proximity of Inland Waters The practices outlined in ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 "Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works" should also be adopted where applicable to minimize the water quality impacts upon any natural streams or surface water systems. Relevant mitigation measures from the ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 are listed below: | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | Water Pollution Control Ordinance |
| | Construction works close to the inland waters should be carried out in dry season as far as practicable where the flow in the surface channel or stream is low. | | | | | | | |
| | The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified in areas close to the water courses to reduce the disturbance to the surface water. | | | | | | | |
| | Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works. | | | | | | | |
| | Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses. | | | | | | | |
| | Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers. | | | | | | | |
| | Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil or mud from slipping into the watercourses. | | | | | | | |
| Water Qua | lity Impact (Operational Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 6.9.20 - 6.9.23 | Best Management Practices (BMPs) to reduce storm water and non-point source pollution have been proposed for the RIW as follows: | All works areas | CEDD/HyD | ✓ | | ✓ | | Water Pollution Control Ordinance |
| | Design Measures | | | | | | | |
| | Exposed surface shall be avoided within the RIW sites to minimize soil erosion. The development site shall be either hard paved or | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion St | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|---------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | covered by landscaping area where appropriate. | | | | | | | |
| | The streams and channelized nullahs near the RIW sites will be retained to maintain the original flow path. The drainage system will be designed to avoid flooding. | | | | | | | |
| | Green areas / tree / shrub planting etc. will be introduced along roadside amenity strips and central dividers as far as possible, which can help to reduce soil erosion. | | | | | | | |
| | Evergreen trees species, which in general generate relatively smaller amount of fallen leaves, should be selected where possible. | | | | | | | |
| | Devices/ Facilities to Control Pollution | | | | | | | |
| | Screening facilities such as standard gully grating and trash grille, with spacing which is capable of screening off large substances such as fallen leaves and rubbish should be provided at the inlet of drainage system. | | | | | | | |
| | Road gullies with standard design and silt traps and oil interceptors should be incorporated during the detailed design to remove particles present in stormwater runoff, where appropriate. | | | | | | | |
| | Administrative Measures | | | | | | | |
| | Good management measures such as regular cleaning and sweeping of road surface/ open areas are suggested. The road surface/ open area cleaning should also be carried out prior to occurrence rainstorm. | | | | | | | |
| | Manholes, as well as stormwater gullies, ditches provided at the Project sites should be regularly inspected and cleaned (e.g. monthly). Additional inspection and cleansing should be carried out before forecast heavy rainfall. | | | | | | | |
| Waste Mana | agement Implication (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.1 – 7.6.3 | Good Site Practices | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| | Appropriate waste handling, transportation and disposal methods for all waste arising generated during the construction works for the Project should be implemented to ensure that construction wastes do not enter the nearby streams or drainage channel. | | | | | | | DEVB TCW No. 6/2010, ETWB |
| | It is anticipated that adverse impacts would not arise on the | | | | | | | TCW No. 19/2005 |

| EIA Def | | Location of the | e Implementation | lmpl | Implementation Stag | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|------|---------------------|---|-----|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | construction site, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include: | | | | | | | |
| | Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility. | | | | | | | |
| | Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. | | | | | | | |
| | Provision of sufficient waste reception/ disposal points, of a suitable vermin-proof design that minimises windblown litter. | | | | | | | |
| | Arrangement for regular collection of waste for transport off- site and final disposal. | | | | | | | |
| | Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. | | | | | | | |
| | Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. | | | | | | | |
| | A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed. | | | | | | | |
| | A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 for details. | | | | | | | |
| | In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at landfills and public filling areas, as appropriate, and to control fly tipping, a trip- ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements to be implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. One may take reference to DEVB TCW No.6/2010 for details. | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.4 – 7.6.5 | Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control of construction site activities/ | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | Waste Disposal Ordinance |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | processes can minimise the generation of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: | | | | | | | ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 |
| | Segregate and store different types of construction related waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. | | | | | | | |
| | Provide separate labelled bins to segregate recyclable waste such as aluminium cans from other general refuse generated by the work force, and to encourage collection by individual collectors. | | | | | | | |
| | Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. | | | | | | | |
| | Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D materials. | | | | | | | |
| | Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. | | | | | | | |
| | Adopt proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage to, or contamination of, construction materials. | | | | | | | |
| | Plan the delivery and stock of construction materials carefully to minimise the amount of waste generated. | | | | | | | |
| | Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering. | | | | | | | |
| | In addition to the above measures, other specific mitigation measures are recommended below to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of wastes. | | | | | | | |
| 7.6.6 – 7.6.8 | Construction and Demolition Materials The CSD materials generated from site glearance, demolition of | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | Waste Disposal Ordinance |
| | The C&D materials generated from site clearance, demolition of existing roads, slope excavation works, and construction of new | | | | | | | Waste Disposal |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | ige ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|----------|---|-----------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|--|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | roads, retaining wall and piling works should be sorted on-site into inert C&D materials (that is, public fill) and C&D waste. To minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D | | | | | | | (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation |
| | materials as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals should be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed to landfill. A suitable area should be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of C&D materials and to facilitate the sorting process. Within the stockpile areas, the following measures should be taken to control potential environmental impacts or nuisance: | | | | | | | Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of |
| | Waste such as soil should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment; | | | | | | | Nuisances Regulation |
| | - Covering material during heavy rainfall; | | | | | | | Land |
| | Stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; | | | | | | | (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance |
| | - Locating stockpiles to minimise potential visual impacts; and | | | | | | | Code of Practice on the Packaging, |
| | - Minimising land intake of stockpile areas as far as possible. | | | | | | | Labelling and |
| | General Refuse | | | | | | | Storage of Chemical Wastes |
| | General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material. | | | | | | | Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes |
| | <u>Chemical Wastes</u> | | | | | | | |
| | • If chemical wastes were to be produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer, and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------|----------|----------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | corresponding chemical characteristics of the waste such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall use a licensed collector to transport the chemical wastes. The licensed collector shall deliver the waste to the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or other licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | | | | | | | |
| Waste Mar | nagement Implication (Operational Phase) | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| Land Cont | amination (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| Land Cont | amination (Operational Phase) | | | • | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| Ecological | Impact (Terrestrial) (Construction Phase) | | | • | | | | |
| 9.13.2- 9.13.5 | Measures to Avoid/ Minimize Impacts to Flora Species of Conservation Importance Within the Project Site boundary, two flora species of conservation importance (Incense Tree and Luofushan Joint-fir) would be subject to direct impacts. A detailed vegetation survey should be conducted by a qualified ecologist / botanist within the Project Site boundary. | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | EIAO-TM |
| | • A Transplantation Proposal should be prepared by a qualified ecologist / botanist with detailed findings of the vegetation survey (i.e. number and locations of the affected individuals, assessment of the suitability and / or practicality of the transplantation) and locations of receptor site(s), transplantation methodology, implementation programme of transplantation, post-transplantation monitoring and maintenance programme. The proposal should be submitted to and approved by AFCD prior to commencement of any works (including ground investigation. The approved transplantation works should be supervised by a qualified botanist / horticulturist / Certified Arborist with relevant experience in transplantation, a 3-year monitoring and maintenance programme | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the Implementation | | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | of the transplanted species should be conducted to ensure the establishment of the transplanted trees. • Hoarding or fencing should be erected around the works areas during the construction phase to restrict access, to adjacent habitats supporting flora species of conservation importance, by site workers and to reduce human disturbance. | | | | | | | |
| 9.13.6- 9.13.8 | Measures to Avoid/ Minimize Habitat Loss to Woodland and Plantation Habitat loss could be avoided in the first instance by retaining existing vegetation wherever possible, particularly mature and semi-mature trees present within the works areas. Any trees retained should be adequately protected during construction phase to promote their health and longevity. Areas which would be temporarily affected by construction activities (i.e. slope works) should be reinstated after completing the construction works. Hoarding or fencing should be erected around the works areas during construction phase to restrict access to natural habitats adjacent to works areas by site workers. | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | * | · | | | EIAO-TM |
| 9.13.9- 9.13.12 | Measures to Minimise Disturbance from Construction Activities Construction dust should be suppressed to avoid and minimize the dust covering leaves of plants that would affect their photosynthesis, and thus their health and growth: Regular spraying of haul roads. Proper storage of construction materials. Covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste. Noise impact during construction phase should be avoided and minimized to reduce the disturbance to the habitats adjacent to the works areas: Machines and plant (e.g. trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Machines and plants known to emit strong directional noise | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | EIAO-TM |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | Agent Des C O Dec | Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾ | | | Relevant |
|------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------------|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby habitats. | | | | | | | |
| | Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. | | | | | | | |
| | Using Quiet Mechanical Plant (QMP) to limit noise emissions at source. | | | | | | | |
| | QMP and other machines and plants (e.g. air compressors, concrete pumps) should be covered by noise enclosure to further reduce noise impact. | | | | | | | |
| | Through night-time lighting control during construction phase, glare disturbance to wildlife would be controlled. | | | | | | | |
| 9.13.13 | Measures to Minimise Pollution to Watercourses | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | √ | | | EIAO-TM |
| | Good site practices should be adopted to avoid any pollution from entering the watercourses. Practices to minimize surface runoff and to reduce suspended solid levels should be undertaken. | | | | | | | |
| | Drainage arrangements should include sediment traps to collect and control construction run-off. | | | | | | | |
| | All works and storage area should be restricted to the site boundary. | | | | | | | |
| | General refuse and construction wastes should be collected and disposed of in a timely and appropriate manner. | | | | | | | |
| | Regular check of the construction boundary to avoid unmitigated impacts imposed on nearby watercourse. | | | | | | | |
| Ecological | I Impact (Terrestrial) (Operational Phase) | | 1 | | | l | I | l |
| 9.13.14 | Measures to Minimize Impacts from Noise Barriers | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | | ✓ | | EIAO-TM |
| | During the operational phase, the road networks and associated noise barriers may result in bird collision and mortality. Mitigation measures such as use of tinted materials and superimposing dark patterns or strips on the barrier, as per EPD / Highways Department requirements would be employed to minimise incidents | | | | | | | |

| | | Location of the Implementation | | | lementa | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|----------|----------|--------------------|----------|--|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures Agent | | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | of mortality from collision. | | | | | | | |
| Landscape | e and Visual (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.9) | All existing trees to be retained shall be carefully protected during construction. | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | * | ✓ | | | DEVB TC (W) No.10/2013 |
| 10.10.4 | Tree Transplantation | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | ETWB TCW No. |
| (Table 10.9) | Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 29/2004, | | | | | | | 29/2004 DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 |
| | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 and "Guidelines on Tree Transplanting", GLTMS of DEVB. | | | | | | | Guidelines on Tree Transplanting, GLTMS of DEVB |
| 10.10.4 | Erection of decorative screen hoarding for reducing visual impacts | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | EIAO-TM |
| (Table 10.9) | | | | | | | | |
| 10.10.4 | Measures to avoid / minimize impacts to flora species of conservation | All works areas | CEDD/Contractor | ✓ | ✓ | | | EIAO-TM |
| (Table 10.9) | importance. | | | | | | | |
| Landscape | e and Visual (Operational Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 10.10.4 (Table | Compensatory tree planting for loss of existing trees (Compensation for loss of road side amenity) | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD | √ | ✓ | ✓ | | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 |
| 10.10) | | | Operational Stage – HyD/LCSD | | | | | GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| 10.10.4 (Table | Compensatory woodland planting | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 |
| 10.10) | | | CEDD Operational Stage – HyD/ArchSD | | | | | GEO publication No. 1/2011 |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmp | lementa | ation Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|--|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Compensatory shrub mix planting | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD | ✓ | √ | ✓ | | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Hydro-seeding planting with shrub seed mix | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Tall buffer advance screen tree / shrub / climber planting | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Planting of road verges, central divider and around structures | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - HyD, LCSD | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ETWB(W) No. 2/2004 Subject to ACABAS approval |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Reinstate modified watercourse | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - DSD | ✓ | ✓ | √ | | EIAO-TM |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Provision of visually pleasing aesthetic treatment on noise barriers (with climbers provided if space available) and enclosures | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - HyD | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ETWB(W) No. 2/2004 Subject to ACABAS approval |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Hard Landscape Treatment Carriageway, Structures and Roadside Furniture (for example, pleasing aesthetic finishing of retaining wall) | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD | √ | √ | √ | | ETWB(W) No. 10/2005 Subject to |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | Imp | lementa | ation Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | | | Operational Stage – HyD/LCSD/ArchSD | | | | | ACABAS approval |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Planting of toe planters for slope enhancement | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - LCSD | ✓ | √ | ✓ | | EIAO-TM GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| 10.10.4 (Table 10.10) | Planting of berm planters/ planting strips for slope enhancement | All works areas | Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD | √ | √ | √ | | EIAO-TM GEO publication No. 1/2011 |
| Landfill Ga | s Hazard (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| 11.9.2 - 11.9.4 | Contractors shall note the possible presence of landfill gas in the ground (even if it is unlikely) and shall take this into account in the design, construction of the proposed works. A Safety Officer or an appropriately qualified person, trained in the use of gas detection equipment, landfill gas related hazards and the appropriate actions to take in the event of adverse circumstances, shall be present on site throughout the works, in particular, when works are undertaken below ground. The contractor shall take cognizance of the presence of surface water and leachate management system and landfill gas management systems near the proposed works area. The contractor shall take all reasonable care to avoid any damage, loss, injury, interruption or impairment of the integrity of the landfill facilities within the works limits, storage area and across road area. The contractor shall also liaise and seek EPD and their landfill contractor – Hong Kong Landfill Restoration Group Limited (HKLRG) agreement on site arrangement before carrying out the proposed work. | Works areas within landfill consultation zones | CEDD/Contractor | | * | • | | EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note |
| 11.9.5 - 11.9.11 | Safety Measures The contractor shall be aware of, and inform all workers accordingly, that methane and carbon dioxide is always likely to be | Works areas within landfill consultation zones | CEDD/Contractor | | ✓ | | | EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment |

| | | Location of the | Implementation | lmpl | ementa | tion Sta | age ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------|------|----------|----------|--------------------|--|
| EIA Ref. | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | Present in the soil voids. All personnel working on site and all visitors to the site be informed of the nearby landfill site and the possibility of landfill gas in the vicinity of the proposed works area. Safety warning notices shall be posted. No worker shall be allowed to work alone at any time inside the trenches or joint bays or near to any excavation. At least one other worker shall be available to assist in a rescue in an emergency case. Smoking and naked flames shall be strictly prohibited within the site or confined space if any. 'No Smoking' and 'No Naked Flame' notices shall be posted prominently at the site entrance and other conspicuous locations. All electrical equipment, such as motors and extension cords, shall be intrinsically safe. Adequate safely equipment shall be available at all times. This includes but is not limited to fire extinguishing equipment, breathing apparatus and personal protective equipment. In the event of working inside a confined space is required, sufficient approved resuscitation equipment, breathing apparatus and safety torches shall be available. Persons involved in or supervising such work shall be trained and practiced for the use of such equipment. A permit-to-work system for entry into confined space shall be established by an approved qualified person and consistently enforced. All relevant Ordinances, Legislations, Guidelines and Codes of Practice pertaining to work in confined space must be strictly adhered to. | | | | | | | Guidance Note Labour Department's Code of Practice for Safety and Health at Work in Confined Space |
| 11.9.12- 11.9.16 | Monitoring The works area shall be monitored periodically during construction for the presence of methane, carbon dioxide and oxygen using gas detection equipment. The gas detection equipment shall be an intrinsically safe portable instrument, appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases in the ranges indicated below: — Methane 0 – 100% LEL and 0 – 100% v/v; | Works areas within landfill consultation zones | CEDD/Contractor | | * | | | EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note |

| EIA Ref. | | Location of the | Implementation | Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾ | | | nge ⁽¹⁾ | Relevant |
|----------|--|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Recommeded Mitigation Measure | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| | − Carbon dioxide 0 − 100%; and | | | | | | | |
| | − Oxygen 0 − 21%. | | | | | | | |
| | During construction, monitoring of excavations s as follows: | hall be undertaken | | | | | | |
| | For excavation deeper than 1 m, measurements | shall be made: | | | | | | |
| | At the ground surface before excavation comment | nences; | | | | | | |
| | Immediately before any worker enters an exca | vation; | | | | | | |
| | At the beginning of each working day for the e excavation remains open; and | ntire period the | | | | | | |
| | Periodically through the working day whilst wo excavation. | rkers are in the | | | | | | |
| | For excavation between 300 mm and 1 m de shall be made: | ep, measurements | | | | | | |
| | Directly after the excavation has been comple | ed; and | | | | | | |
| | Periodically whilst the excavation remains open | n. | | | | | | |
| | For excavation less than 300 mm, monitoring madiscretion of the Safety Officer or other apperson. | | | | | | | |
| | The monitoring frequency and area to be mor down prior to commencement of ground works of Officer or by an appropriately qualified person. | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring should be undertaken by the Safet appropriately qualified person. The monitoring recorded and kept on site and shall be readily a for inspection by the relevant authority. | g results shall be | | | | | | |
| | Depending upon the results of measurements Actions shall be set down by the Safety appropriately qualified person prior to occupancy of the proposed works area. | Officer or other | | | | | | |

| | | | Location of the | Implementation | Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾ | | | | Relevant |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-----|---|
| EIA Ref. | | Recommeded Mitigation Measures | Measures | Agent | Des | С | 0 | Dec | Legislation and Guidelines |
| 11.10.2 – 11.10.3 | • | The presence of landfill gas should be assumed at all times by maintenance workers. | Works areas within landfill consultation | Maintenance contractor/Utility | | | ✓ | | EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard |
| | • | All maintenance workers inspecting any manhole should be fully trained in the issue of landfill gas hazard. | zones | companies | | | | | Assessment Guidance Note |
| | • | Any manhole which is large enough to permit to access to personnel should be subject to safe entry procedures. | | | | | | | Labour Department's |
| Industria Factorie Code of (Labour | | Working in confined spaces is controlled by the Factories and Industrial Undertakings (Confined Spaces) Regulations of the Factories and Industrial Undertakings Ordinance. Following the Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces (Labour Department, Hong Kong) maintains compliance with the above regulations. | | | | | | | Code of Practice for Safety and Health at Work in Confined Space |
| | • | A strictly regulated "work permit procedure" should be implemented and the relevant safety procedures must be rigidly followed. | | | | | | | |
| | • | Adequate communication with maintenance staff should be maintained with respect to landfill gas hazard. | | | | | | | |
| | • | Utility companies should undertake a landfill gas surveillance exercise at the utility manholes/inspection chambers. | | | | | | | |
| | • | Undertaken using an intrinsically safe portable instrument, appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases in the ranges indicated: | | | | | | | |
| | | Methane 0 − 100% LEL and 0 − 100% v/v; | | | | | | | |
| | | − Carbon dioxide 0 − 100%; and | | | | | | | |
| | | − Oxygen 0 − 21%. | | | | | | | |
| | • | Undertaken for the duration of the site occupancy, or until such time that EPD agrees that surveillance is no longer required. | | | | | | | |
| | • | Depending on the results of the measurements, actions required will vary and should be set down by appropriately qualified person. | | | | | | | |

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Des = Design; C = Construction; O = Operation; Dec = Decommissioning

Appendix 4.1

Action and Limit Level

Action and Limit Level

Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

| | | Limit Level (dB(A)) | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Monitoring Station | Action Level | 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | 0700-2300 hrs on holidays (including Sundays); and 1900-2300 hrs on all days ² | 2300-0700 hrs of all days ² | | | |
| NMC01 | | 65 / 70 ¹ | | | | | |
| NMC02 | When one | 75 | | | | | |
| NMC03 | documented complaint is | 75 | 60 / 65 / 70 ³ | 45 / 50 / 55 ³ | | | |
| NMC04 | received | 75 | | | | | |
| NMC05 | | 75 | | | | | |

Remark 1: Limit level of NMC01 - Kei Shun Special School reduce to 65 dB (A) during examination periods if any.

Remark 2: Construction noise during restricted hours is under the control of Noise Control Ordinance Limit Level to be selected based on Area Sensitivity Rating.

Remark 3: Limit Level for restricted hour monitoring shall act as reference level only. Investigation would be conducted on CNP compliance if exceedance recorded during restricted hour noise monitoring period.

Baseline Level for Noise Monitoring (For reference and calculation of Construction Noise Levels (CNLs))

| Monitoring Station | | Baseline Level (dB(A)) | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Action Level | 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | 0700-2300 hrs on holidays (including Sundays); and 1900- 2300 hrs on all days | 2300-0700 hrs of all days | | | |
| NMC01 | | 69.3 | 69.0 | 66.6 | | | |
| NMC02 | When one | 72.0 | 66.3 | 68.6 | | | |
| NMC03 | documented complaint is | 78.2 | 77.9 | 73.8 | | | |
| NMC04 | received | 66.6 | 64.0 | 62.1 | | | |
| NMC05 | | 61.8 | 59.8 | 57.9 | | | |

All the Construction Noise Levels (CNLs) reported in this report were adjusted with the corresponding baseline level (i.e. Measured Leq - Baseline Leq = CNL), in order to facilitate the interpretation of the noise exceedance.



Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Locations | 1-hour TSP Level inµg/m3 | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Action Level | Limit Level | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-1 | 284.4 | 500.0 | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-2 | 282.4 | 500.0 | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-3 | 287.9 | 500.0 | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-4 | 281.6 | 500.0 | | | |
| NCWBR_AMS-5 | 270.0 | 500.0 | | | |
| LTR_AMS-1 | 272.1 | 500.0 | | | |
| LTR_AMS-2 | 281.1 | 500.0 | | | |
| LTR_AMS-3 | 285.1 | 500.0 | | | |

Action and Limit Level for Water Monitoring

| Monitoring Station | Surfa | ce pH | Surface DO (mg/L) | | Surface Turbidity (NTU) | | Surface SS (mg/L) | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| | Action | Limit | t Action Limit | | Action | Limit | Action | Limit |
| | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level | Level |
| E | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Beyond | Beyond | | | | | | |
| F | the range | the range | 5.8 | 5.5 | 24.4 | 32.7 | 17.0 | 23.8 |
| | of 6.6-8.4 | of 6.5-8.5 | | | | | | |
| Н | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Beyond | Beyond | | | | | | |
| I | the range | the range | 5.5 | 5.4 | 206.9 | 214.2 | 172.8 | 201.4 |
| | of 6.6-8.4 | of 6.5-8.5 | | | | | | |

*Remarks:

The value of 1.0mg/L was taken as the value for measurement with suspended solid level of <1.0mg/L for Action and Limit level calculation.

It is recommended that upstream monitoring station (monitoring station E and H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only. Action and limit level would not be establish using the baseline data.



Appendix 4.2

Copies of Calibration Certificates



• Device Type:

Stamp:

Manufacturer Calibration Certificate

XL2 Audio and Acoustic Analyzer

The following instrument has been tested and calibrated to the manufacturer specifications. The calibration is traceable in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 covering all instrument functions.

| 3. | <u> </u> | |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Serial Number: | A2A-15269-E0 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Certificate Issued: | 19 February 2019 | |
| Certificate Number: | 43515-A2A-15269-E0 | |
| | | |
| • Results: | PASSED (for detailed report see next page) | |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| Tested by: | M. Frick | |
| Signature: | | |

LI 9494 Schaan

Calibration of: XL2 Audio and Acoustic Analyzer

Serial Number: A2A-15269-E0
Date: 19 February 2019

Detailed Calibration Test Results:

| | | | | | actual | XL2 | calibration |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | | reference | actual | unit | error | tolerance | uncertainty ² |
| RMS Level @ 1kHz, XLF | ? Input | 0.1 | 0.100 | V | ≤0.1% | ±0.5% | ±0.10% |
| | | 1 | 0.999 | V | -0.1% | ±0.5% | ±0.09% |
| | | 10 | 9.978 | V | -0.2% | ±0.5% | ±0.09% |
| | | | | | | | |
| Flatness, XLR Input ¹ | 20 Hz | 1 | 0.995 | V | -0.5% | ±1.1% | ±0.09% |
| | 20 kHz | 1 | 1.003 | V | 0.3% | ±1.1% | ±0.09% |
| Frequency | | 1000 | 999.99 | Hz | ≤0.003% | ±0.003% | ±0.01% |
| Residual Noise | XLR | | < 2 uV | | | <2 uV | ±0.50% |
| THD+N @ 0 dBu, 1 kHz, | XLR Input | | -100.4 | dB | | typ100 dB | ±0.50% |

| • | Test Conditions: | Temperature: | 23.4 | °C |
|---|------------------|--------------------|------|----|
| | | Relative Humidity: | 32 | % |

• Calibration Equipment Used:

 Agilent Multimeter, Typ 34401A, Serial No. MY 5300 4607 Last calibration: 15.08.2018, Next calibration: 15.08.2019 Calibrated by ELCAL to the national standards maintained at Swiss Federal Office of Metrology. SCS 0002

FX100 Audio Analyzer, Serial No. 10408
 Last Calibration: 27.04.2018, Next Calibration: 27.04.2019
 Manufacturer calibration based on Agilent 34410, Serial No. MY47014254,
 Last Calibration: 11.05.2018, Next Calibration: 11.05.2019
 which is calibrated by ELCAL to national standards maintained at Swiss Federal Office of Metrology. SCS 002

 $^{^{1}}$ The specified tolerance +/-0.1 dB @ 1V = +/- 1.1%

² The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with the regulations of the GUM.



ボボロ 6八 河ボブ月 YK ム ロJ SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

19CA0529 01

Page

of

2

Item tested

Description:
Manufacturer:
Type/Model No.:

Sound Level Meter (Type 1) Larson Davis LxT1 Microphone PCB 377B02 Preamp PCB PRMLxT1L

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

0005098

173736

042838

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.: Date of receipt:

29-May-2019

Date of test:

30-May-2019

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator B&K 4226 DS 360

2288444 61227 23-Aug-2019 26-Dec-2019 CIGISMEC CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

22 ± 1 °C 55 ± 10 %

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of +20%.
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Feng Junqi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

31-May-2019

Company Chop:

STOS ** OLL I

Comments: The results reported in his certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



称谷試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

19CA0529 01

Page

of

2

2

1, **Electrical Tests**

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

| Test: | Subtest: | Status: | Expanded Uncertanity (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Self-generated noise | A | Pass | 0.3 | |
| 3 3 | C | Pass | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| | Lin | Pass | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Linearity range for Leq | At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| , , , | Reference SPL on all other ranges | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB below upper limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 2 dB above lower limit of each range | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Linearity range for SPL | At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Frequency weightings | Α | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | С | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Lin | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weightings | Single Burst Fast | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Single Burst Slow | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Peak response | Single 100µs rectangular pulse | Pass | 0.3 | |
| R.M.S. accuracy | Crest factor of 3 | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time weighting I | Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Time averaging | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | 1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| Pulse range | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Sound exposure level | Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz | Pass | 0.4 | |
| Overload indication | SPL | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Leq | Pass | 0.4 | |

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

| Test: | Subtest | Status | Expanded Uncertanity (dB) | Coverage Factor |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Acoustic response | Weighting A at 125 Hz | Pass | 0.3 | |
| | Weighting A at 8000 Hz | Pass | 0.5 | |

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip

30-May-2019

Checked by

Shek Kwong Tat

Date:

31-May-2019

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 **SMECLab**

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type:

.

Serial No.

0005098

Date 30-May-2019

Microphone Preamp

type:

377B02 PRMLxT1L

LxT1

Serial No. Serial No. 173736 042838

Report: 19CA0529 01

SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting

11.4

dΒ

Noise level in C weighting

16.1

dB

Noise level in Lin

22.2

2 dB

LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals.(SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

| Reference/Expected level | Actua | l level | Tolerance | Devia | ition |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| | non-integrated | integrated | | non-integrated | integrated |
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB | dB |
| 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 99.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 104.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 109.0 | 109.0 | 109.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 114.0 | 114.0 | 114.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 116.0 | 116.0 | 116.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 118.0 | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 89.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 84.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 79.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 69.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 64.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 39.0 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 0.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 33.0 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 0.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 |



TOTAL DELA MAX MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 **SMECLab**

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

| Sound level me | eter type: | LxT1 | | Serial No. | 0005098 | Date | e 30-May-2019 |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------|------|------------------|
| Microphone Preamp | type: type: | 377B02 PRMLxT1L | | Serial No. Serial No. | 173736 042838 | Rep | ort: 19CA0529 01 |
| 32.0 | | 31.9 | 31.9 | 0.7 | | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| 31.0 | | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0.7 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30.0 | | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0.7 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it

| Other ranges | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 20-120 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

| Ranges | Reference/Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 20-120 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| 20-120 | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting netwoks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency weighting A:

| Frequency | Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolera | nce(dB) | Deviation |
|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Hz | dB | dB | dB | + | - | dB |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 54.6 | 54.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 85.4 | 85.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 90.8 | 90.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | 89.7 | 89.7 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |

Frequency weighting C:

| Frequency | Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerar | nce(dB) | Deviation |
|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Hz | dB | dB | dB | + | - | dB |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

(c)Soils Materials Eng. Co., Ltd.

Form No.: CAWS 152/Issue 1/Rev. B/01/02/2007



香港寅竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 **SMECLab**

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

| Sound level me | eter type: | LxT1 | | Serial No. | | 0005098 | | Date | 30-M | ay-2019 | |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|------|--------------------------|---|---------|------------|---------|------|---------|---|
| Microphone Preamp | type: type: | 377B02 PRMLxT1 | L | Serial No. Serial No. | | | 736 838 | Report: | 19CA | 0529 01 | |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | | 93.8 | 93.9 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | | | = |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | | 93.2 | 93.2 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | | 91.0 | 91.0 | | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | | 87.8 | 87.8 | 3 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | | | |

Frequency weighting Lin:

| Frequency | Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolera | nce(dB) | Deviation |
|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Hz | dB | dB | dB | + | - | dB |
| 1000.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31.6 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 63.1 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 125.9 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 251.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 501.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 1995.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 3981.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 7943.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.1 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.1 |
| 12590.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |

TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

| Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation |
|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | + | - | dB |
| 116.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

| Ref. level | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance(dB) | | Deviation |
|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | + | - | dB |
| 116.0 | 111.9 | 111.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range. Positive polarities: (Weighting Z, set the generator signal to single, Lzpeak)

| | (11019111119 =, 001 1110 901 | iorator orginal to on | gic, Ezpean) | |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Ref. level | Response to 10 ms | Response to 100 us | Tolerance | Deviation |
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 |

(c)Soils Materials Eng. Co. Ltd.



徐元 百 記 毎駅 7月 PR ム □J SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 **SMECLab**

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 4 of 5

Sound level meter type:

LxT1

Serial No.

0005098

Date

30-May-2019

Microphone Preamp

type: type: 377B02 PRMLxT1L Serial No. Serial No. 173736 042838

Report: 19CA0529 01

Negative polarities:

| Ref. level | Response to 10 ms | Response to 100 us | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 119.0 | 119.0 | 119.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 |

RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency:

Tone burst signal:

2000 Hz

Amplitude:

2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Burst repetition frequency:

40 Hz

Cy.

11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

(Set to INT)

| | Ref. Level | Expected level | Tone burst signal | Tolerance | Deviation |
|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Time wighting | dB | dB | indication(dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| Slow | 118.0+6.6 | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |

TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency:

2000 Hz

Amplitude:

The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

| Ref. Level | Single burs | Single burst indication | | Deviation |
|------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|
| dB | Expected (dB) | Actual (dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| 120.0 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 2.0 | -0.1 |

Repeated at 100 Hz

| Ref. Level | Repeated bu | Repeated burst indication | | Deviation |
|------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|
| dB | Expected (dB) | Actual (dB) | +/- dB | dB |
| 120.0 | 117.3 | 117.2 | 1.0 | -0.1 |

TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst:

4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst:

1 ms

| Repetition Time | Level of | Expected | Actual | Tolerance | Deviation | Remarks |
|-----------------|------------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| | tone burst | Leq | Leq | | | |
| msec | dB | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB | |
| 1000 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 89.9 | 1.0 | -0.1 | 60s integ. |
| 10000 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 79.9 | 1.0 | -0.1 | 6min. integ |

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency:

4000 Hz

Integration time:

10 sec

(c)Soils Materials Eng. Co., Ltd.

Form No.: CAWS 152/Issue 1/Rev. B/01/02/2007

The copyright of this report is owned by the Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd. It may not be reproduced except with prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.



称で 古 試 版 1月 PR ム ロJ SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533 **SMECLab**

Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 5 of 5

Sound level meter type:

LxT1

Serial No.

0005098

Date

30-May-2019

Microphone Preamp type: type: 377B02 PRMLxT1L Serial No. Serial No. 173736 042838

Report: 19CA0529 01

The integrating sound level meter set to Leq:

| Duration | Rms level of | Expected | Actual | Tolerance | Deviation |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| msec | tone burst (dB) | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 10 | 88.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

| Duration | Rms level of | Expected | Actual | Tolerance | Deviation |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| msec | tone burst (dB) | dB | dB | +/- dB | dB |
| 10.0 | 88.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency:

2000 Hz

Amplitude:

2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Burst repetition frequency:

40 Hz

Tone burst signal:

11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

| Level | Level reduced by | Further reduced | Difference | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| at overload (dB) | 1 dB | 3 dB | dB | dB | dB |
| 116.0 | 115.0 | 112.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as following: The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency:

4000 Hz

Integration time:

10 sec

Single burst duration:

1 msec

| Rms level | Level reduced by | Expected level | Actual level | Tolerance | Deviation |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| at overload (dB) | 1 dB | dB | dB | dB | dB |
| 122.6 | 121.6 | 81.6 | 81.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 |

ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

| Frequency | Expected level | Actual level Tolerance (dB) | | nce (dB) | Deviation |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----|----------|-----------|
| Hz | dB | Measured (dB) | + | - | dB |
| 1000 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 125 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 8000 | 92.9 | 91.7 | 1.5 | 3.0 | -1.2 |

-----END-----



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

18CA1023 02

Page:

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Larson Davis CAL200

Serial/Equipment No.:

13437

Adaptors used:

_

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

Lam Geotechnics Ltd.

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.:

-

Date of receipt:

23-Oct-2018

Date of test:

24-Oct-2018

Reference equipment used in the calibration

| Description: | Model: | Serial No. | Expiry Date: | Traceable to: |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Lab standard microphone | B&K 4180 | 2412857 | 20-Apr-2019 | SCL |
| Preamplifier | B&K 2673 | 2239857 | 27-Apr-2019 | CEPREI |
| Measuring amplifier | B&K 2610 | 2346941 | 08-May-2019 | CEPRÉI |
| Signal generator | DS 360 | 33873 | 24-Apr-2019 | CEPREI |
| Digital multi-meter | 34401A | US36087050 | 23-Apr-2019 | CEPREI |
| Audio analyzer | 8903B | GB41300350 | 23-Apr-2019 | CEPREI |
| Universal counter | 53132A | MY40003662 | 24-Apr-2019 | CEPREI |

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

20 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity:

50 ± 10 %

Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2. The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Feng Junqi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

24-Oct-2018

Company Chop:

of calibration and

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007



綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

18CA1023 02

Page:

2

of

2

Measured Sound Pressure Level 1.

> The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

(Output level in dB re 20 μPa) Output Sound Pressure Measured Output Estimated Expanded Frequency Sound Pressure Level Uncertainty Level Setting Shown dB dΒ dB Hz 1000 94.00 93.77 0.10

Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations 2,

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.015 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, **Actual Output Frequency**

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.2 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

Total Noise and Distortion 4,

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.5%

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

End

Fung Chi Yip

24-Oct-2018

Checked by:

Shek Kwong Tal

Date:

Date:

24-Oct-2018

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005

Calibration Certificate

Certificate Number 2018010851

Customer: LAM Environmental Services Ltd 11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road Wanchai, , Hong Kong

| Model Number | CAL200 | Procedure Number | D0001 | .8386 | |
|-------------------|---|------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Serial Number | 13098 | Technician | Scott I | Montgo | mery |
| Test Results | Pass | Calibration Date | 29 Oc | t 2018 | |
| | Inonorable | Calibration Due | | | |
| Initial Condition | Inoperable | Temperature | 23 | °C | ± 0.3 °C |
| Description | Larson Davis CAL200 Acoustic Calibrator | Humidity | 34 | %RH | ± 3 %RH |
| | | Static Pressure | 101.2 | kPa | ± 1 kPa |

Evaluation Method The data is aquired by the insert voltage calibration method using the reference microphone's open

circuit sensitivity. Data reported in dB re 20 µPa.

Compliance Standards Compliant to Manufacturer Specifications per D0001.8190 and the following standards:

IEC 60942:2017 ANSI S1.40-2006

Issuing lab certifies that the instrument described above meets or exceeds all specifications as stated in the referenced procedure (unless otherwise noted). It has been calibrated using measurement standards traceable to the SI through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), or other national measurement institutes, and meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005. Test points marked with a ‡ in the uncertainties column do not fall within this laboratory's scope of accreditation.

The quality system is registered to ISO 9001:2008.

This calibration is a direct comparison of the unit under test to the listed reference standards and did not involve any sampling plans to complete. No allowance has been made for the instability of the test device due to use, time, etc. Such allowances would be made by the customer as needed.

The uncertainties were computed in accordance with the ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM). A coverage factor of approximately 2 sigma (k=2) has been applied to the standard uncertainty to express the expanded uncertainty at approximately 95% confidence level.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, unless permission for the publication of an approved abstract is obtained in writing from the organization issuing this report.

| Standards Used | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--------------|--|--|
| Description | Cal Date | Cal Due | Cal Standard | | |
| Agilent 34401A DMM | 09/06/2018 | 09/06/2019 | 001021 | | |
| Larson Davis Model 2900 Real Time Analyzer | 04/10/2018 | 04/10/2019 | 001051 | | |
| Microphone Calibration System | 03/07/2018 | 03/07/2019 | 005446 | | |
| 1/2" Preamplifier | 09/20/2018 | 09/20/2019 | 006506 | | |
| Larson Davis 1/2" Preamplifier 7-pin LEMO | 08/07/2018 | 08/07/2019 | 006507 | | |
| 1/2 inch Microphone - RI - 200V | 05/10/2018 | 05/10/2019 | 006510 | | |
| Pressure Transducer | 07/18/2018 | 07/18/2019 | 007368 | | |









Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Record

Portable Dust Meter

Type Particulare Monitor

Manufacturer MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Model Number 831

Serial Number R14332

Performance Check Date 27-Feb-19, 14-Mar-19

Standard Equipment

High Volume Sampler Type

Manufacturer TISCH

Model Number TE-5170

Equipment Number HVS018

Last Calibration Date 4-Feb-19


Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Results

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Mean Temp (°C) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Zero Check | 27/2/19 | 1016 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 27/2/19 09:52 | 1016 | 24 | 53 | 56 |
| 2 | 14/3/19 09:32 | 1018 | 22 | 64 | 72 |
| 3 | 27/2/19 11:00 | 1016 | 24 | 72 | 71 |

^{*} Filter paper weighting was conducted by HOKLAS accredited laboratory

Linear Regression of Y on X

Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



| Operator: | Henry Lau | Date: | 14-Mar-19 |
|-------------|------------------|-------|-----------|
| Checked by: | Chan Ka Chun | Date: | 21-Mar-19 |
| Onconou by. | 311a111ta 311a11 | | |



REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE : HK1810828

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

22/8/2018

CUSTOMER : LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS : 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO. HK1810828 PROJECT ITEM NO. HK1810828-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. : BT 645 SERIAL NO. : X19297 **EQUIPMENT NO.** RECEIPT DATE 16/8/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 17/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

| CODE | Calibration Parameter | Method Procedure | Reference Method |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Dust PC/CAL | Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter | CAL003 | General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK |

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

22/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER **PROJECT NAME**

22/8/2018 DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1810828

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. BT 645 SERIAL NO X19297 EQUIPMENT NO. PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 17/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPE HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER


MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Zero Check ¹ | 17/8/2018,7:20:00 AM | 28 | 1005 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 17/8/2018,8:24:00 PM | 28 | 1005 | 51 | 51 |
| 2 | 17/8/2018,9:26:00 PM | 28 | 1005 | 24 | 19 |
| 3 | 17/8/2018,10:28:00 PM | 28 | 1005 | 19 | 14 |

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K-factor)

Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

| Operator: | Lau, Natalie | Signature: | lon | <u>au</u> | Date: | 17/8/2018 |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | V | 1-0 | | |

1 ti

Date: 22/8/2018 Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature:



Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Record

Portable Dust Meter

Type Particulare Monitor

Manufacturer MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Model Number BT-645

Performance Check Date 10-Jan-19

Standard Equipment

Serial Number

High Volume Sampler Type

Manufacturer TISCH

Model Number TE-5170

Equipment Number HVS018

Last Calibration Date 4-Dec-18


Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Results

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Zero Check | 10/1/19 07:00 | 19 | 1020 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 10/1/19 08:05 | 19 | 1020 | 109 | 74 |
| 2 | 10/1/19 09:25 | 19 | 1020 | 88 | 62 |
| 3 | 10/1/19 10:27 | 19 | 1020 | 76 | 53 |

X19299

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor)
Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record 0.9995 10/1/2020



| Operator: | Henry Lau | Date: | 14/1/19 | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| Checked by: | Chan Ka Chun | Date: | 14/1/19 | |

^{*} Filter paper weighting was conducted by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.



Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Record

Portable Dust Meter

Type : Particulare Monitor

Manufacturer : MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Model Number : BT-645

Serial Number : R22584

Performance Check Date : 27-Feb-19

Standard Equipment

Type : High Volume Sampler

Manufacturer : TISCH

Model Number : TE-5170

Equipment Number : HVS018


Last Calibration Date : 4-Dec-18

Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Results

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Mean Temp (°C) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| Zero Check | 27/2/19 | 1016 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 27/2/19 08:45 | 1016 | 21 | 75 | 61 |
| 2 | 27/2/19 09:52 | 1016 | 21 | 53 | 51 |
| 3 | 27/2/19 11:00 | 1016 | 21 | 72 | 58 |

^{*} Filter paper weighting was conducted by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

Linear Regression of Y on X



| Operator: | Henry Lau | Date: | 27-Feb-19 | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Checked by: | Chan Ka Chun | Date: | 4-Mar-19 | |



Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Record

Portable Dust Meter

Туре Particulare Monitor

Manufacturer MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Model Number BT-645

Serial Number R22586

Performance Check Date 27-Feb-19, 14-Mar-19

Standard Equipment

Туре High Volume Sampler

Manufacturer TISCH

Model Number TE-5170

Equipment Number HVS018

Last Calibration Date 4-Feb-19


Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Results

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Mean Temp (°C) | _ | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|---|
| Zero Check | 27/2/19 | 1018 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 27/2/19 11:00 | 1016 | 24 | 72 | 58 |
| 2 | 27/2/19 08:45 | 1016 | 24 | 76 | 61 |
| 3 | 14/3/19 08:30 | 1018 | 22 | 104 | 74 |

^{*} Filter paper weighting was conducted by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor) Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



| Operator: | Henry Lau | Date: | 14-1/181-19 |
|-------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| Checked by: | Chan Ka Chun | Date: | 21-Mar-19 |
| Checked by. | Chan Na Chun | Dale. | Z I-IVIAI- 13 |



REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

: HK1810826 : PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

: 16/8/2018

CUSTOMER

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

: HK1810826

PROJECT ITEM NO.

: HK1810826-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

MANUFACTURER

: PARTICULATE MONITOR

MODEL NO.

MET ONE INSTRUMENTS BT 645

SERIAL NO. EQUIPMENT NO. X19295

RECEIPT DATE

16/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 16/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

| CODE | Calibration Parameter | Method Procedure | Reference Method |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Dust PC/CAL | Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter | CAL003 | General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK |

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Issue Date:

16/8/2018

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager)



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER 16/8/2018 **PROJECT NAME**

DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1810826

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

PARTICULATE MONITOR **TYPE** MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. BT 645 SERIAL NO. X19295 EQUIPMENT NO. 16/8/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER **TYPE**

MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018


EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Zero Check ¹ | 16/8/2018,8:30:00 AM | 27.8 | 1000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 16/8/2018,2:16:00 PM | 27.8 | 1000 | 36 | 39 |
| 2 | 16/8/2018,3:21:00 PM | 27.8 | 1000 | 35 | 31 |
| 3 | 16/8/2018,4:24:00 PM | 27.8 | 1000 | 6 | 7 |

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor)
Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

1.0000



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Date: 16/8/2018 Lau, Natalie Signature: Operator:

Date: 16/8/2018 Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: Checked by:



REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

16/8/2018

CUSTOMER **ADDRESS**

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1810819

PROJECT ITEM NO.

HK1810819-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

MANUFACTURER

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO.

AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO.

W16848

EQUIPMENT NO.

RECEIPT DATE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 15/8/2018

14/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

| CODE | Calibration Parameter | Method Procedure | Reference Method |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Dust PC/CAL | Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter | CAL003 | General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK |

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

16/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER PROJECT NAME

16/8/2018 DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1810819

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR TYPE

MET ONE INSTRUMENTS **MANUFACTURER** AEROCET - 831 MODEL NO.

W16848 SERIAL NO.

EQUIPMENT NO.

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 15/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER TYPE

MANUFACTURER TISCH TE-5170 MODEL NO. PTL_HV002 EQUIPMENT REF NO. LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018


EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Zero Check ¹ | 15/8/2018,9:05:00 AM | 28.2 | 999 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 15/8/2018,10:20:00 AM | 28.2 | 999 | 37 | 85 |
| 2 | 15/8/2018,11:22:00 AM | 28.2 | 999 | 35 | 83 |
| 3 | 15/8/2018,12:29:00 PM | 28.2 | 999 | 9 | 27 |

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor)

Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

0.4400 0.9988



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited. 2.

Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received. 3.

| Operator: | Lau, Natalie | Signature: | for | cer | Date: | 15/8/2018 |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----|-----|-------|-----------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | , | | |

16/8/2018 Date: Signature: Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline



REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME

HK1811049 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE

24/10/2018

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD : 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1811049

PROJECT ITEM NO.

HK1811049-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MET ONE INSTRUMENTS AEROCET - 831

MANUFACTURER MODEL NO.

SERIAL NO.

W15448

EQUIPMENT NO.

RECEIPT DATE

18/10/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 18/10/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

| CODE | Calibration Parameter | Method Procedure | Reference Method |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Dust PC/CAL | Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter | CAL003 | General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK |

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline

(Assistant Laboratory Manager)

Issue Date:

24/10/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PROJECT NAME PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. 24/10/2018 HK1811049

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS MODEL NO. AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO W15448 EQUIPMENT NO. PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 18/10/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPF HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:


| | | | | Concentration in ug/m ³ | Concentration in ug/m ³ | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | 0-3000 N 77 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N | 0-3000 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | 50-000000000000 54 75 000000 0 | 0-3000 - 3000 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - | 50-000000000000 54 75 000000 0 | 0-00000000000000 N /7100000 0 | 0-3000 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1 | 0-100/01/01/01/01 Dr. 75 (0.00/01/01 | Time I | lime Pressure | (Standard equipment) | (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) |
| | | | (=/ | (Y - Axis) | (X - Axis) | | | | | | | | | |
| Zero Check ¹ | 18/10/2018,9:05:00 AM | 22.5 | 1015 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 18/10/2018,2:16:00 PM | 22.5 | 1015 | 31 | 44 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 18/10/2018,3:18:00 PM | 22.5 | 1015 | 30 | 44 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 18/10/2018,4:21:00 PM | 22.5 | 1015 | 26 | 35 | | | | | | | | | |

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor)
Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

0.7000



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

| Operator: | Lau, Natalie | Signature: | die | Date: | 18/10/2018 | |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----|-------|------------|--|
| | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: Date: 24/10/2018



REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

HK1811054 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

24/10/2018

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD CUSTOMER

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG **ADDRESS**

REPORT NO. HK1811054 PROJECT ITEM NO. HK1811054-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. SERIAL NO. AEROCET - 831 W15449 **EQUIPMENT NO.** 18/10/2018 RECEIPT DATE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 23/10/2018

| CODE | Calibration Parameter | Method Procedure | Reference Method |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Dust PC/CAL | Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter | CAL003 | General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK |

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

24/10/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER 24/10/2018 PROJECT NAME

DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1811054

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR **MANUFACTURER** MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. AEROCET - 831 W15449

SERIAL NO. EQUIPMENT NO.

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 23/10/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPF HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:


| Trial no. in 1-hr period | Time | Mean Temp (°C) | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis) | Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Zero Check ¹ | 23/10/2018,9:05:00 AM | 25.3 | 1017 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 23/10/2018,10:20:00 AM | 25.3 | 1017 | 45 | 51 |
| 2 | 23/10/2018,11:22:00 AM | 25.3 | 1017 | 32 | 39 |
| 3 | 23/10/2018,12:29:00 PM | 25.3 | 1017 | 12 | 19 |

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor) Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

23/10/2019



Notes: 1. Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

| Operator: | Lau, Natalie | Signature: | letter | Date: | 23/10/2018 |
|-----------|--------------|------------|--------|-------|------------|
| | | | | | |

Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: 24/10/2018 Date:



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: CHAN KA CHUN WORK ORDER: HK1912921

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F CENTRE POINT, SUB-BATCH: 0

181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, LABORATORY: HONG KONG WANCHAI, HONG KONG DATE RECEIVED: 27-Mar-2019

DATE OF ISSUE: 02-Apr-2019

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Salinity and Temperature

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 14M100277

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 02 April, 2019

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Si

 $This\ report\ may\ not\ be\ reproduced\ except\ with\ prior\ written\ approval\ from\ ALS\ Technichem\ (HK)\ Pty\ Ltd.$

WORK ORDER: HK1912921

SUB-BATCH: C

DATE OF ISSUE: 02-Apr-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 14M100277

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 02 April, 2019 Date of Next Calibration: 02 July, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2.85 | 2.66 | -0.19 |
| 5.99 | 5.79 | -0.20 |
| 8.54 | 8.57 | +0.03 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ±0.20 |

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 3.82 | -0.18 |
| 7.0 | 6.83 | -0.17 |
| 10.0 | 9.87 | -0.13 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.00 | |
| 10 | 9.95 | -0.5 |
| 20 | 20.10 | +0.5 |
| 30 | 30.03 | +0.1 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Ain

WORK ORDER: HK1912921

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 02-Apr-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 14M100277

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 02 April, 2019 Date of Next Calibration: 02 July, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 9.0 | 8.2 | -0.8 |
| 23.0 | 22.6 | -0.4 |
| 40.0 | 39.3 | -0.7 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless

of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Sig



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: CHAN KA CHUN WORK ORDER: HK1916521

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS: 11/F CENTRE POINT, SUB-BATCH: 0

181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD,
WANCHAI, HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 17-Apr-2019

DATE OF ISSUE: 25-Apr-2019

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Salinity and Temperature

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus

Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.: -

Date of Calibration: 24-Apr-2019

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu

Assistant Manager - Inorganic

 $This\ report\ may\ not\ be\ reproduced\ except\ with\ prior\ written\ approval\ from\ ALS\ Technichem\ (HK)\ Pty\ Ltd.$

WORK ORDER: HK1916521

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 25-Apr-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 24-Apr-2019 Date of Next Calibration: 24-Jul-2019

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 8.15 | 8.07 | -0.08 |
| 5.90 | 6.05 | +0.15 |
| 2.64 | 2.69 | +0.05 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ±0.20 |

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 4.00 | +0.00 |
| 7.0 | 7.20 | +0.20 |
| 10.0 | 10.05 | +0.05 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ±0.20 |

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

| Expected Reading (ppt) | Displayed Reading (ppt) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 0.00 | |
| 10 | 9.86 | -1.4 |
| 20 | 19.53 | -2.3 |
| 30 | 29.81 | -0.6 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ±10.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu

Assistant Manager - Inorganic

WORK ORDER: **HK1916521**

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 25-Apr-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 24-Apr-2019 Date of Next Calibration: 24-Jul-2019

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

| Expected Reading (°C) | Displayed Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 9.5 | 9.7 | +0.2 |
| 22.0 | 22.1 | +0.1 |
| 38.5 | 38.2 | -0.3 |
| | Tolerance Limit (°C) | ±2.0 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

16:3

Ms. Lin Wai Yu

Assistant Manager - Inorganic



| | d by customer: | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CONTACT: | MR. CHAN KA CHUN | JOB REFERENCE NO.: | 22777053-E29V4502 |
| CLIENT: | LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED | | |
| DATE RECEIVED: | 29/05/2019 | | |
| DATE OF ISSUE: | 18/06/2019 | | |
| ADDRESS: | 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185, (| GLOUCESTER ROAD. | |
| | WANCHAI, HONG KONG | | |
| PROJECT: | | | |
| | | | |
| METHOD OF PER | | | |
| Ref: APHA22nd ed 2 | FORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATI | ON: | |
| Ref. Al HAZZild ed Z | 130B | | |
| COMMENTS | | | |
| It is certified that the i | tem under performance check/calibration | on has been calibrated/checked by | corresponding calibrated |
| equipment in the labor | atory. | | |
| Maximum Tolerance a | and calibration frequency stated in the re | eport, unless otherwise stated the | e internal accentance critorio o |
| FT Laboratories Ltd w | fill be followed. | The stated, the | miernar acceptance criteria o |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Scope of Test: | | Turbidity | |
| Equipment Type: | | Turbidimeter | |
| Brand Name: | | Xin Rui | |
| Model No.: | | WGZ-3B | |
| Serial No.: | | 1807077 | |
| Equipment No.: Date of Calibration: | | | |
| Remarks: | | 01/06/2019 | |
| Remarks. | | | |
| This is the Final Penor | t Pasults apply to sample(s) 1 - 14 | 1.411 | |
| This is the Final Report | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have l | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| This is the Final Report for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |
| for release. | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | | |
| Certified By: | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitt | ed. All pages of this report have I | peen checked and approved |

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd.

Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 1 of 2



WORK ORDER: 22777053-E29V4502

DATE OF ISSUE: 18/06/2019

CLIENT: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED

| Equipment Type: | Turbidimeter | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| Brand Name: | Xin Rui | |
| Model No.: | WGZ-3B | |
| Serial No.: | 1807077 | |
| Equipment No.: | | |
| Date of Calibration: | 01/06/2019 | |
| Date of next Calibation: | 31/08/2019 | |
| Lab ID: | H190165-02 | |

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 22nd ed. 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Display Reading (NTU) | Tolerance |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 0 | 0.00 | |
| 4 | 4.32 | 8.0% |
| 10 | 9.99 | -0.1% |
| 40 | 43.32 | 8.3% |
| 100 | 100.30 | 0.3% |
| 400 | 435 | 8.6% |
| 1000 | 1002 | 0.2% |
| | Tolerance Limit (±) | 10% |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.



| Information supplied | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| CONTACT: | MR. CHAN KA CHUN | JOB REFERENCE NO.: | 22777053-E29V4501 | | |
| CLIENT: | LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED | | | | |
| DATE RECEIVED: | 29/05/2019 | | | | |
| DATE OF ISSUE: | 18/06/2019 | | | | |
| ADDRESS: | 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185, GLOUCESTER ROAD, WANCHAI, HONG KONG | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PROJECT: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| METHOD OF PERF | ORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATIO |)N· | | | |
| Ref: APHA22nd ed 21 | | | | | |
| COMMENTS | | | | | |
| COMMENTS It is contified that the it | one do | 1 1 19 7 17 1 1 1 | | | |
| it is certified that the it | em under performance check/calibration | n has been calibrated/checked b | y corresponding calibrated | | |
| equipment in the labor | | | | | |
| Maximum Tolerance a | nd calibration frequency stated in the re | port, unless otherwise stated, th | e internal acceptance criteria o | | |
| FT Laboratories Ltd w | ill be followed. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Scope of Test: | | Turbidity | | | |
| Equipment Type: | | Turbidimeter | | | |
| Brand Name: | | Xin Rui WGZ-3B 1807079 | | | |
| Model No.: | | | | | |
| Serial No.: | | | | | |
| Equipment No.: | | | | | |
| Date of Calibration: | | 01/06/2019 | | | |
| Remarks: | | - | | | |
| This is the Final Repor | t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitte | d. All pages of this report have | been checked and approved | | |
| for release. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| N | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | V | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Contificat D | Mark | | 40/0//000 | | |
| Certified By: | Signal Control | Issue Date: | 18/06/2019 | | |
| | HO Lai Sze | | | | |
| | Senior Chemist | | | | |
| | | | | | |

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd.

Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 1 of 2



WORK ORDER: 22777053-E29V4501

DATE OF ISSUE: 18/06/2019

CLIENT: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED

| Equipment Type: | Turbidimeter | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| Brand Name: | Xin Rui | |
| Model No.: | WGZ-3B | |
| Serial No.: | 1807079 | |
| Equipment No.: | | |
| Date of Calibration: | 01/06/2019 | |
| Date of next Calibation: | 31/08/2019 | |
| Lab ID: | H190165-01 | |

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 22nd ed. 2130B

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Display Reading (NTU) | Tolerance | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| 0 | 0.00 | | |
| 4 | 4.21 | 5.3% | |
| 10 | 9.84 | -1.6% | |
| 40 | 37.74 | -5.7% | |
| 100 | 98.14 | -1.9% | |
| 400 | 435 | 8.8% | |
| 1000 | 991 | -0.9% | |
| | Tolerance Limit (±) | 10% | |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.


This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd. Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101




Appendix 4.3


Wind data extracted from HKO Automatic Weather Station


A. Wind Direction extracted from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station







B. Wind Speed extracted from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station






C. Wind Direction extracted from Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station





D. Wind Speed extracted from Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station







Appendix 5.1

Monitoring Schedules for Reporting Month



SERVICE CONTRACT NO. EDO/01/2017 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS

Impact Water Quality, Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule June 2019

| Cumdou | Manday | Tuesday | June 2019 Wednesday | | Friday | Caturday |
|---------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|
| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday 01-Jun |
| | | | | | | UI-Jun |
| 02-Jun | 03-Jun | 04-Jun | | 06-Jun | 07-Jun | |
| | | AQM NM | WQM | | | WQM |
| 09-Jun | 10-Jun | 11-Jun | 12-Jun | 13-Jun | 14-Jun | 15-Jun |
| | WQM | | WQM | 10 00.1 | WQM | AQM |
| 16-Jun | 17-Jun | 18-Jun | 19-Jun | 20-Jun | 21-Jun | 22-Jun |
| | WQM NM | | <mark>WQM</mark> | | MQM AQM | |
| 23-Jun | 24-Jun WQM | 25-Jun | 26-Jun | 27-Jun | 28-Jun | 29-Jun |
| | | NM | | AQM | er Gan | |
| 30-Jun | | | | | | |
| Remark: | | <u>l</u> | I . | <u>I</u> | 1 | <u> </u> |

Remark:

1. WQM: Water Quality Monitoring

AQM: Air Quality Monitoring

NM: Noise monitoring is scheduled at the beginning of each week

| 2. | Monitoring | Location |
|----|------------|----------|
| | | |

| Inland Water | Station | Description | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| Channelized nullah | E | Upstream Control Station | |
| across the project site | F | Downstream Impact Station | |
| Ma Yau Tong Stream | Н | Upstream Control Station | |
| wa rau rong Stream | I | Downstream Impact Station | |

3. The interval between 2 sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours



SERVICE CONTRACT NO. EDO/01/2017 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS

Tentative Impact Water Quality, Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule

| | 1 | · | July 2019 |) | ı | T |
|--------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| | | WQM NM | | 04-Jul | 05-Jul | 06-Jul |
| 07-Jul | WQM | 09-Jul | 10-Jul <mark>WQM</mark> | 11-Jul | 12-Jul <mark>WQM</mark> | 13-Jul |
| | NM | AQM | | | | |
| 14-Jul | MQM NM AQM | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 18-Jul | WQM | 20-Jul |
| 21-Jul | 22-Jul WQM NM | 23-Jul | 24-Jul | | 26-Jul | 27-Jul |
| 28-Jul | 29-Jul WQM NM | 30-Jul | 31-Jul | | | |

Remark:

1. WQM: Water Quality Monitoring

AQM: Air Quality Monitoring

NM: Noise monitoring is scheduled at the beginning of each week

2. Monitoring Location:

| Inland Water | Station | Description | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| Channelized nullah | E | Upstream Control Station | |
| across the project site | F | Downstream Impact Station | |
| Ma Yau Tong Stream | Н | Upstream Control Station | |
| Ivia Yau Tong Stream | I | Downstream Impact Station | |

3. The interval between $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours



Appendix 5.2

Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-01 - G/F, Kei Shun Special School

| | | | Measure | ement Noi | se Level | Average Noise Level | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level | Limit Level |
|--------------|---------|-------|---------|--------------|----------|---------------------|--|--|-------------|
| Date | Weather | Time | Leq | L10 | L90 | Leq | Leq | Leq | Leq |
| | | | Unit: | dB(A), (5 | -min) | | Unit: | dB(A), (30-min) | |
| | | 14:23 | 66.4 | 69.4 | 63.1 | | | | |
| | | 14:28 | 66.8 | 68.2 | 62.3 | | | | |
| 4 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 14:33 | 67.3 | 68.7 | 61.3 | 67 | 69.3 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>70</td></baseline> | 70 |
| 4 3411 2013 | Cloudy | 14:38 | 66.7 | 68.4 | 61.1 |] " | 05.0 | Spaseline Ecver | 10 |
| | | 14:43 | 67.1 | 69.7 | 61.6 |] | | | |
| | | 14:48 | 66.5 | 69.1 | 62.5 | | | | |
| | | 8:47 | 66.3 | 69.3 | 62.7 | | | | |
| | | 8:52 | 66.5 | 70.1 | 62.2 | | 69.3 <baseline level<="" td=""><td></td><td rowspan="2">70</td></baseline> | | 70 |
| 11 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 8:57 | 65.9 | 69.4 | 62.5 | - 66 | | <baseline level<="" td=""></baseline> | |
| 11 0411 2010 | Cloudy | 9:02 | 66.7 | 69.6 | 63.1 | | | | 10 |
| | | 9:07 | 65.5 | 70.4 | 63.3 | | | | |
| | | 9:12 | 65.6 | 70.3 | 62.4 | | | | |
| | | 13:04 | 68.3 | 70.9 | 63.4 | | | | |
| | | 13:09 | 67.7 | 71.2 | 64.2 | | | | |
| 17 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 13:14 | 68.5 | 71.4 | 63.6 | 68 | 69.3 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>70</td></baseline> | 70 |
| 17 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 13:19 | 68.3 | 71.6 | 62.7 | | 00.0 | -Bussimo Esvoi | 10 |
| | | 13:24 | 67.3 | 72.3 | 63.8 | | | | |
| | | 13:29 | 67.2 | 72.4 | 62.5 | | | | |
| | | 8:47 | 66.5 | 68.8 | 61.3 | | | | |
| | | 8:52 | 65.9 | 68.6 | 61.5 | | | | |
| 25 Jun 2019 | Fine | 8:57 | 65.7 | 69.6 | 61.7 | 66 | 69.3 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>70</td></baseline> | 70 |
| 20 0011 2010 | 1 1110 | 9:02 | 66.3 | .3 69.4 62.8 | 62.8 | | 33.0 | | , , |
| | | 9:07 | 66.1 | 69.5 | 62.4 | | | | |
| | | 9:12 | 65.8 | 69.8 | 61.5 |] | | <u> </u> | |



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-02 - 3/F podium, Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6

| | | | Measure | ement Noi | se Level | Average Noise Level | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level | Limit Level |
|--------------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Date | Weather | Time | Leq | L10 | L90 | Leq | Leq | Leq | Leq |
| | | | Unit: | dB(A), (5 | -min) | | Unit: | dB(A), (30-min) | |
| | | 13:45 | 72.4 | 76.2 | 68.3 | | | | |
| | | 13:50 | 73.4 | 75.5 | 68.5 |] | | | |
| 4 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 13:55 | 73.6 | 76.8 | 68.9 | 73 | 72.0 | 66 | 75 |
| 4 3011 2019 | Cloudy | 14:00 | 72.8 | 75.3 | 69.2 |] '5 | 72.0 | 00 | 73 |
| | | 14:05 | 72.6 | 76.4 | 69.7 |] | | | |
| | | 14:10 | 73.0 | 75.9 | 68.9 | | | | |
| | | 9:25 | 71.6 | 74.6 | 64.9 | | | | |
| | | 9:30 | 72.0 | 74.3 | 65.2 | | | | 75 |
| 11 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 9:35 | 71.8 | 75.1 | 64.7 | 72 | 72.0 | <baseline level<="" td=""></baseline> | |
| 11 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 9:40 | 71.5 | 74.9 | 65.3 | | | | |
| | | 9:45 | 71.9 | 74.6 | 65.1 | | | | |
| | | 9:50 | 72.1 | 75.2 | 65.7 | | | | |
| | | 13:49 | 72.4 | 76.4 | 69.9 | | | 62.0 | |
| | | 13:54 | 72.6 | 76.1 | 68.3 | | | | 75 |
| 17 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 13:59 | 72.4 | 75.9 | 68.6 | 72 | 72.0 | | |
| 17 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 14:04 | 71.9 | 75.7 | 68.4 | 12 | 72.0 | 02.0 | 70 |
| | | 14:09 | 72.8 | 76.4 | 68.9 | | | | |
| | | 14:14 | 72.3 | 76.3 | 69.4 | | | | |
| | | 9:31 | 73.5 | 76.4 | 68.4 | | | | |
| | | 9:36 | 73.8 | 76.8 | 69.3 | | | | |
| 25 Jun 2019 | Fine | 9:41 | 73.7 | 76.4 | 68.6 | 74 | 72.0 | 69.0 | 75 |
| 20 04/12010 | 1 | 9:46 | 74.1 | 77.1 | 68.7 |] '7 | 12.0 | 69.0 | , , |
| | | 9:51 | 74.3 | 77.0 | 69.0 | | | | |
| | | 9:56 | 73.6 | 76.6 | 69.1 |] | | | |



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-03 - G/F, Sienna Garden Block 6

| | | | Measure | ement Noi | se Level | Average Noise Level | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level | Limit Level |
|--------------|---------------------|-------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Date | Weather | Time | Leq | L10 | L90 | Leq | Leq | Leq | Leq |
| | | | Unit: | dB(A), (5 | -min) | | Unit: | dB(A), (30-min) | |
| | | 13:01 | 80.3 | 82.9 | 62.3 | | | | |
| | | 13:06 | 78.4 | 83.1 | 63.1 | | | | |
| 4 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 13:11 | 79.2 | 82.6 | 62.6 | 79 | 78.2 | 73 | 75 |
| 4 3011 2019 | Cloudy | 13:16 | 80.4 | 83.5 | 61.1 |] "9 | 70.2 | 73 | 13 |
| | | 13:21 | 78.1 | 82.7 | 60.9 |] | | | |
| | | 13:26 | 79.3 | 83.2 | 61.3 | | | | |
| | | 10:04 | 78.9 | 80.3 | 63.5 | | | | |
| | | 10:09 | 78.3 | 80.6 | 61.4 | | 78.2 | 48 | 75 |
| 11 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 10:14 | 78.4 | 79.9 | 61.3 | 78 | | | |
| 11 3411 2013 | 11 Juli 2013 Cloudy | 10:19 | 77.5 | 80.1 | 62.8 | | | | |
| | | 10:24 | 78.6 | 79.9 | 63.5 | | | | |
| | | 10:29 | 77.3 | 80.6 | 62.4 | | | | |
| | | 14:35 | 79.3 | 82.5 | 63.5 | | | 75.0 | |
| | | 14:40 | 79.9 | 82.8 | 62.8 | | | | |
| 17 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 14:45 | 80.0 | 82.1 | 63.1 | 80 | 78.2 | | 75 |
| 17 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 14:50 | 79.7 | 82.8 | 62.4 | | 70.2 | 70.0 | |
| | | 14:55 | 80.8 | 82.2 | 63.2 | | | | |
| | | 15:00 | 80.4 | 82.7 | 63.2 | | | | |
| | | 10:15 | 80.4 | 83.5 | 64.3 | | | | |
| | | 10:20 | 79.5 | 84.9 | 63.4 | | | | |
| 25 Jun 2019 | Fine | 10:25 | 78.4 | 82.1 | 63.8 | 79 | 78.2 | 74.0 | 75 |
| 20 04/12010 | 1 | 10:30 | 80.1 | 84.5 | 64.2 | | 10.2 | | '` |
| | | 10:35 | 79.2 | 83.4 | 64.6 | | | | |
| | | 10:40 | 78.9 | 83.1 | 64.8 |] | | | |



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-04 - 3/F Podium, Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House

| | | | Measure | ement Noi | se Level | Average Noise Level | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level | Limit Level | |
|--------------|------------------|-------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|--|-------------|--|
| Date | Weather | Time | Leq | L10 | L90 | Leq | Leq | Leq | Leq | |
| | | | Unit: dB(A), (5-min) | | | Unit: dB(A), (30-min) | | | | |
| | | 10:50 | 65.3 | 67.4 | 63.5 | | | | | |
| | | 10:55 | 66.4 | 68.0 | 62.1 | | | | | |
| 4 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 11:00 | 65.1 | 67.7 | 62.6 | 66 | 66.6 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline> | 75 | |
| 4 Juli 2013 | Oloudy | 11:05 | 65.8 | 67.9 | 62.6 | | 00.0 | - Dascille Ecvel | 73 | |
| | | 11:10 | 66.4 | 68.2 | 62.9 | | | | | |
| | | 11:15 | 65.3 | 68.3 | 63.6 | | | | | |
| | | 10:52 | 64.0 | 68.3 | 61.4 | | | | | |
| | | 10:57 | 63.4 | 68.7 | 61.2 | | | <baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">75</td></baseline> | 75 | |
| 11 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 11:02 | 64.6 | 68.7 | 60.8 | 64 | 4 66.6 | | | |
| | Oloudy | 11:07 | 64.1 | 69.2 | 61.1 | | | | | |
| | | 11:12 | 63.8 | 68.9 | 61.3 | | | | | |
| | | 11:17 | 63.5 | 68.4 | 60.7 | | | | | |
| | | 15:31 | 65.1 | 67.2 | 62.5 | | | <baseline level<="" td=""><td></td></baseline> | | |
| | | 15:36 | 64.9 | 67.5 | 62.1 | | | | | |
| 17 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 15:41 | 64.6 | 67.3 | 62.7 | 65 | 66.6 | | 75 | |
| 17 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 15:46 | 64.7 | 67.8 | 62.0 | | 00.0 | -Bucomio Ecvor | 70 | |
| | | 15:51 | 65.3 | 68.2 | 61.6 | | | | | |
| | | 15:56 | 65.7 | 67.9 | 61.7 | | | | | |
| | | 11:17 | 62.6 | 66.3 | 61.5 | | | | | |
| | | 11:22 | 62.8 | 65.4 | 59.8 | | | | | |
| 25 Jun 2019 | un 2019 Fine 11: | 11:27 | 63.4 | 65.6 | 60.1 | 63 | 66.6 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline> | 75 | |
| 20 04.12010 | | 11:32 | 63.7 | 65.6 | 60.5 | | 33.0 | - Bassille Ecvel | 7.5 | |
| | | 11:37 | 63.3 | 65.7 | 60.4 | | | | | |
| | | 11:42 | 62.4 | 66.4 | 60.8 | | | | | |




Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)


Location: NMC-05 - G/F, Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House

| | | | Measure | ement Noi | se Level | Average Noise Level | Baseline Level | Construction Noise Level | Limit Level |
|--------------|---------|-------|----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|
| Date | Weather | Time | Leq | L10 | L90 | Leq | Leq | Leq | Leq |
| | | | Unit: dB(A), (5-min) | | | Unit: | dB(A), (30-min) | | |
| | | 10:05 | 59.3 | 62.3 | 57.7 | | | | |
| | | 10:10 | 60.6 | 62.6 | 57.4 | | | | |
| 4 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 10:15 | 59.7 | 63.1 | 57.6 | 60 | 61.8 | <baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline> | 75 |
| 4 3411 2013 | Cloudy | 10:20 | 60.2 | 63.4 | 58.1 | | 01.0 | - Dascille Ecvel | 13 |
| | | 10:25 | 59.3 | 63.2 | 57.0 | | | | |
| | | 10:30 | 58.9 | 62.8 | 57.3 | | | | |
| | | 11:28 | 63.4 | 65.5 | 58.1 | | | | |
| | | 11:33 | 62.5 | 65.3 | 57.9 | | | | 75 |
| 11 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 11:38 | 63.1 | 64.9 | 57.3 | 63 | 61.8 | 56 | |
| 11 0411 2010 | Cloudy | 11:43 | 63.5 | 65.2 | 58.0 | | | | |
| | | 11:48 | 61.7 | 63.8 | 57.4 | | | | |
| | | 11:53 | 62.9 | 64.4 | 58.2 | | | | |
| | | 16:11 | 64.1 | 66.9 | 59.4 | | | | 75 |
| | | 16:16 | 64.3 | 67.1 | 58.8 | | | | |
| 17 Jun 2019 | Cloudy | 16:21 | 63.9 | 66.7 | 58.3 | 64 | 61.8 | 61 | |
| 17 0411 2010 | Oloudy | 16:26 | 64.6 | 67.5 | 58.7 | | 01.0 | 01 | , , |
| | | 16:31 | 64.9 | 67.1 | 59.0 | | | | |
| | | 16:36 | 63.8 | 67.3 | 58.5 | | | | |
| | | 13:00 | 65.9 | 68.6 | 60.1 | | | | |
| | | 13:05 | 66.4 | 69.3 | 59.4 | | | | |
| 25 Jun 2019 | Fine | 13:10 | 65.3 | 69.1 | 59.6 | 66 | 61.8 | 64 | 75 |
| 20 0411 2010 | """ | 13:15 | 65.7 | 68.8 | 59.3 | 7 00 | 01.0 | 64 | '3 |
| | | 13:20 | 66.1 | 68.6 | 60.3 | | | | |
| | | 13:25 | 66.3 | 68.9 | 60.5 | 1 | | | |




Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)









Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)







Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result





Appendix 5.3

Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-1 - Shun Lee Fire Station

Action Level (μ g/m3) - 284.4 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:04 | 7.7 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:05 | 29.9 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:06 | 4.5 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:10 | 21.8 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:11 | 8.5 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:12 | 8.2 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:09 | 90.8 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:10 | 67.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:11 | 86.1 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 08:00 | 15.0 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 09:01 | 12.5 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:02 | 13.3 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:37 | 13.3 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:38 | 13.4 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:39 | 14.0 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-2 - Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House

Action Level (μ g/m3) - 282.4 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:08 | 119.1 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:09 | 16.1 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:10 | 17.2 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:08 | 10.6 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:09 | 5.8 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:10 | 5.3 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:00 | 34.1 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:01 | 31.0 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:02 | 32.5 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 08:00 | 12.4 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 09:01 | 12.9 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:02 | 13.1 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:23 | 23.2 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:24 | 23.0 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:24 | 21.5 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-3 - Shun Lee Disciplined Services

Quarters (Block 6)
Action Level (µg/m3) - 287.9
Limit Level (µg/m3) - 500.0

| | 1144 41 6 1141 1 | | 1 11 0 1 1 1 1 2 |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:08 | 4.8 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:09 | 10.9 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:10 | 14.9 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:20 | 12.9 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:21 | 12.3 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:22 | 12.5 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 08:04 | 37.4 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 09:05 | 33.1 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:06 | 36.1 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 08:08 | 8.9 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 09:09 | 7.1 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:10 | 7.3 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:35 | 21.9 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:36 | 20.5 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:37 | 20.7 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-4 - Sienna Garden

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Action Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 281.6 \\ \text{Limit Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 500.0 \\ \end{array}$

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| | | | ,, e |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:19 | 4.9 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:20 | 12.3 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:21 | 16.0 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:09 | 14.3 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:10 | 4.5 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:11 | 3.8 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 08:07 | 30.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 09:08 | 22.9 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:09 | 29.9 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 08:27 | 29.0 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 09:28 | 23.2 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:29 | 22.9 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:33 | 23.0 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:34 | 23.4 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:35 | 17.9 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-5 - Shun Chi Court Shun Fung

House

Action Level (μg/m3) - 270.0 Limit Level (μg/m3) - 500.0

| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:02 | 14.3 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:03 | 24.6 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:04 | 16.5 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:25 | 32.6 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:26 | 13.9 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:27 | 12.4 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 08:00 | 27.8 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 09:01 | 22.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:02 | 31.5 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 08:00 | 8.4 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 09:01 | 8.4 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:02 | 8.9 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:36 | 21.2 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:37 | 22.3 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:38 | 14.1 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-1 - St Edward's Catholic Primary School

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Action Level } (\mu g/m3) - & 272.1 \\ \text{Limit Level } (\mu g/m3) - & 500.0 \\ \end{array}$

| | 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | — · | 1 14 0 4 11 1 4 10 |
|-----------|---|------------|----------------------------|
| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:13 | 20.7 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:14 | 20.1 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:15 | 20.4 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:19 | 12.4 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:20 | 12.6 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:21 | 12.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:12 | 29.2 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:13 | 36.0 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:14 | 37.1 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 9:22 | 13.2 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:23 | 10.3 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 13:00 | 9.4 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:01 | 22.6 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:02 | 16.6 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:03 | 16.3 |



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-2 - Environmental Protection Department's Restored Landfill Site Office Action Level (μ g/m3) - 281.1 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0


| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (µg/m3) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:00 | 14.3 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:01 | 12.5 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:02 | 11.9 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:04 | 6.5 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:05 | 4.9 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:06 | 2.3 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:00 | 26.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:01 | 24.8 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:02 | 24.6 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 9:33 | 61.5 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:34 | 45.2 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 13:00 | 46.5 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:00 | 23.9 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:01 | 18.1 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:02 | 14.9 |





Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-3 - Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House


 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Action Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 285.1 \\ \text{Limit Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 500.0 \\ \end{array}$


| | 114/ (1 0 1:0: 1 | T · | 1 11 0 11 1 10 |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Date | Weather Condition | Time | Mass Concentration (μg/m3) |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:00 | 13.6 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:01 | 11.7 |
| 04-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:02 | 10.8 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 8:02 | 12.1 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 9:03 | 9.2 |
| 10-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 10:04 | 4.4 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:17 | 27.1 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:18 | 22.7 |
| 15-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:19 | 22.8 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 9:14 | 16.3 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 10:15 | 17.5 |
| 21-Jun-19 | Fine | 13:00 | 14.3 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 13:11 | 19.2 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 14:12 | 14.1 |
| 27-Jun-19 | Cloudy | 15:13 | 11.7 |






















Appendix 5.4

Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station E - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Upstream Control Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | ter Temp | perature | | pН | | | Salinit | | С | O Satur | ation | | DO ma/L | | | Turbid | | Suspend | led Solids |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|---------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------|---------|------------|------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | | Average | Va | | Average | , | Average |
| 03-06-19 | 12:15 12:17 | Cloudy | Surface | 24.90 25.30 | 24.90 25.30 | 25.10 | 7.80 7.80 | 7.80 7.80 | 7.8 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 99.8 | 100.6 99.9 | 100.13 | 8.19 8.22 | 8.26 8.20 | 8.2 | 10.43 | 10.39 | 10.4 | 6.8 7.0 | - 6.9 |
| | 8:20 | | | 24.10 | 24.10 | | 8.03 | 8.03 | | 0.48 | 0.48 | | 100.6 | 100.6 | | 8.39 | 8.39 | | 8.52 | 8.42 | | 5.2 | |
| 05-06-19 | 8:22 | Fine | Surface | 24.50 | 24.50 | 24.30 | 7.99 | 7.99 | 8.0 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 100.13 | 8.27 | 8.29 | 8.3 | 8.47 | 8.50 | 8.5 | 5.4 | - 5.3 |
| 08-06-19 | 9:40 | Fine | Surface | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.60 | 8.15 | 8.15 | 8.1 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 99.6 | 99.3 | 98.58 | 8.16 | 8.13 | 8.0 | 7.70 | 7.75 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| | 9:42 | | | 25.60 | 25.60 | | 8.13 | 8.13 | | 0.20 | 0.20 | | 97.6 | 97.8 | | 7.95 | 7.92 | | 7.73 | 7.73 | | 2.7 | |
| 10-06-19 | 15:10 | Cloudy | Surface | 26.20 | 26.20 | 26.35 | 8.08 | 8.08 | 8.0 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 96.0 | 96.3 | 96.08 | 7.72 | 7.74 | 7.7 | 8.11 | 8.12 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
| | 15:12 | • | | 26.50 | 26.50 | | 8.01 | 8.01 | | 0.05 | 0.05 | | 95.9 | 96.1 | | 7.70 | 7.71 | | 8.10 | 8.10 | | 5.1 | |
| 12-06-19 | 14:15 | Cloudy | Surface | 24.50 | 24.50 | 24.70 | 7.64 | 7.64 | 7.6 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 97.3 | 95.4 | 95.85 | 8.07 | 7.91 | 7.9 | 10.44 | 10.45 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| | 14:17 | - | | 24.90 | 24.90 | | 7.62 | 7.62 | | 0.14 | 0.14 | | 95.3 | 95.4 | | 7.90 | 7.90 | | 10.35 | 10.37 | | 3.6 | <u> </u> |
| 14-06-19 | 15:58 | Fine | Surface | 24.70 | 24.70 | 24.80 | 6.38 | 6.40 | 6.4 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 97.3 | 94.6 | 95.75 | 8.06 | 7.83 | 7.9 | 9.17 | 9.17 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| | 16:00 | | | 24.90 | 24.90 | | 6.38 | 6.38 | | 0.02 | 0.02 | | 95.4 | 95.7 | | 7.90 | 7.92 | | 9.16 | 9.16 | | 5.9 | |
| 17-06-19 | 9:50 | Fine | Surface | 24.90 | 24.90 | 25.00 | 8.19 | 8.19 | 8.2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 99.3 | 98.4 | 98.48 | 8.19 | 8.11 | 8.1 | 7.12 | 7.08 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| | 9:52 | | | 25.10 | 25.10 | | 8.16 | 8.16 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | | 98.2 | 98.0 | | 8.08 | 8.07 | | 7.08 | 7.06 | | 2.4 | |
| 19-06-19 | 9:25 | Fine | Surface | 24.90 | 24.90 | 25.10 | 8.38 | 8.38 | 8.4 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 98.3 | 98.0 | 97.75 | 8.08 | 8.06 | 8.0 | 8.57 | 8.56 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| | 9:27 | | | 25.30 | 25.30 | | 8.37 | 8.37 | | 0.26 | 0.26 | | 97.2 | 97.5 | | 7.98 | 8.00 | | 8.61 | 8.66 | | 6.7 | <u> </u> |
| 21-06-19 | 11:40 | Fine | Surface | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.10 | 8.44 | 8.44 | 8.4 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 89.7 | 90.3 | 89.70 | 7.26 | 7.31 | 7.3 | 9.12 | 9.12 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| | 11:42 | | | 26.20 | 26.20 | | 8.44 | 8.44 | i | 0.04 | 0.04 | | 89.1 | 89.7 | | 7.20 | 7.25 | | 9.30 | 9.30 | | 6.6 | |
| 24-06-19 | 8:55 | Fine | Surface | 26.10 | 26.10 | 26.15 | 8.18 | 8.18 | 8.2 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 93.5 | 92.4 | 92.63 | 7.54 | 7.45 | 7.5 | 9.15 | 9.14 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| | 8:57 | | | 26.20 | 26.20 | | 8.18 | 8.18 | | 0.60 | 0.60 | | 92.5 | 92.1 | | 7.45 | 7.42 | | 9.12 | 9.12 | | 3.0 | |
| 26-06-19 | 10:55 | Fine | Surface | 25.50 | 25.50 | 25.50 | 7.68 | 7.68 | 7.7 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 104.4 | 103.4 | 103.28 | 8.48 | 8.40 | 8.4 | 10.63 | 10.63 | 10.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| | 10:57 | | | 25.50 | 25.50 | | 7.68 | 7.68 | | 0.33 | 0.33 | | 103.0 | 102.3 | | 8.38 | 8.30 | | 10.48 | 10.57 | | 2.7 | |
| 28-06-19 | 12:42 | Fine | Surface | 27.70 | 27.70 | 28.05 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 6.7 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 86.3 | 91.8 | 90.20 | 6.74 | 7.13 | 7.0 | 5.24 | 5.24 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| | 12:44 | | | 28.40 | 28.40 | | 6.64 | 6.64 | | 0.08 | 0.08 | | 92.4 | 90.3 | | 7.19 | 7.03 | | 5.20 | 5.20 | | 4.2 | |

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station E) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station F - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Downstream Impact Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | er Temp °C | erature | | pH - | | | Salini | ty | D | O Satur | ation | | DO ma// | | | Turbid NTU | | | led Solids |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue - | Average | Va | ppt lue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | mg/L lue | Average | Va | ilue | Average | mų Value | g/∟ Average |
| 03-06-19 | 12:30 12:32 | Cloudy | Surface | 24.10 | 24.10 24.20 | 24.15 | 7.79 7.79 | 7.79 7.79 | 7.8 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 99.2 98.3 | 99.2 98.4 | 98.78 | 8.31 8.23 | 8.31 8.23 | 8.3 | 15.95 16.00 | 15.91 15.98 | 16.0 | 13.8 14.3 | - 14.1 |
| | 8:40 | | | 24.50 | 24.50 | | 7.89 | 7.89 | | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 100.4 | 100.5 | | 8.34 | 8.35 | | 6.06 | 6.05 | | 4.3 | |
| 05-06-19 | 8:42 | Fine | Surface | 24.70 | 24.70 | 24.60 | 7.87 | 7.87 | 7.9 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 98.9 | 99.1 | 99.73 | 8.21 | 8.22 | 8.3 | 6.05 | 6.05 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 00.00.40 | 9:55 | Fin. | Ourford | 25.50 | 25.50 | 05.00 | 8.13 | 8.17 | 0.4 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 97.9 | 98.5 | 00.40 | 7.98 | 8.03 | 0.0 | 9.40 | 9.40 | 0.4 | 4.5 | |
| 08-06-19 | 9:57 | Fine | Surface | 25.70 | 25.70 | 25.60 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 8.1 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.10 | 7.97 | 7.98 | 8.0 | 9.40 | 9.54 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| 10-06-19 | 15:20 | Cloudy | Surface | 26.10 | 26.10 | 26.10 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.5 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 94.5 | 94.8 | 94.78 | 7.64 | 7.67 | 7.7 | 181.50 | 181.70 | 181.6 | 149.0 | 148.0 |
| | 15:22 | o.ouu, | Curiaco | 26.10 | 26.10 | 20.10 | 7.51 | 7.51 | | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 94.8 | 95.0 | | 7.67 | 7.68 | • | 181.60 | 181.40 | 101.0 | 147.0 | 110.0 |
| 12-06-19 | 14:30 | Cloudy | Surface | 25.30 | 25.30 | 25.35 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 7.3 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 96.6 | 96.7 | 96.13 | 7.93 | 7.93 | 7.9 | 8.94 | 8.96 | 8.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| | 14:32 | | | 25.40 | 25.40 | | 7.28 | 7.28 | | 0.05 | 0.05 | | 95.6 | 95.6 | | 7.83 | 7.83 | | 8.94 | 8.93 | | 3.4 | |
| 14-06-19 | 16:28 | Fine | Surface | 24.70 | 24.70 | 24.80 | 10.34 | 10.34 | <u>10.4</u> | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 98.7 | 98.8 | 98.20 | 8.18 | 8.18 | 8.1 | 54.06 | 54.06 | <u>54.1</u> | 52.5 | <u>52.7</u> |
| | 16:30 | | | 24.90 | 24.90 | | 10.36 | 10.36 | | 0.17 | 0.17 | | 98.1 | 97.2 | | 8.12 | 8.04 | | 54.07 | 54.07 | | 52.9 | |
| 17-06-19 | 10:00 | Fine | Surface | 24.20 | 24.20 | 24.25 | 7.45 | 7.45 | 7.4 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 96.4 | 96.7 | 96.45 | 8.07 | 8.09 | 8.1 | 6.28 | 6.27 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| | 10:02 | | | 24.30 | 24.30 | | 7.40 | 7.40 | | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 96.6 | 96.1 | | 8.08 | 8.03 | | 6.23 | 6.21 | | 3.7 | |
| 19-06-19 | 9:30 | Fine | Surface | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.60 | 7.77 | 7.77 | 7.8 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 94.8 | 94.4 | 95.03 | 7.74 | 7.70 | 7.8 | 10.91 | 10.88 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| | 11:20 | | | 25.60 | 25.60 26.60 | | 7.78 8.45 | 7.78 8.45 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | | 95.7 87.9 | 95.2 90.1 | | 7.81 | 7.77 | | 10.87 | 10.87 | | 3.1 | |
| 21-06-19 | 11:22 | Fine | Surface | 26.90 | 26.90 | 26.75 | 8.45 | 8.45 | <u>8.5</u> | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 90.2 | 89.2 | 89.35 | 7.19 | 7.23 | 7.2 | 13.10 | 13.11 | 13.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| | 9:00 | | | 26.60 | 26.60 | | 7.98 | 7.98 | | 1.91 | 1.91 | | 89.5 | 90.0 | | 7.14 | 7.19 | | 6.46 | 6.47 | | 1.6 | \vdash |
| 24-06-19 | 9:02 | Fine | Surface | 26.70 | 26.70 | 26.65 | 7.94 | 7.94 | 8.0 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.92 | 89.9 | 90.8 | 90.05 | 7.18 | 7.23 | 7.2 | 6.45 | 6.43 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| | 10:05 | | | 25.90 | 25.90 | | 7.35 | 7.35 | | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 101.1 | 101.5 | | 8.20 | 8.23 | | 17.40 | 17.40 | | 3.6 | |
| 26-06-19 | 10:07 | Fine | Surface | 26.00 | 26.00 | 25.95 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 7.3 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 100.9 | 101.1 | 101.15 | 8.17 | 8.19 | 8.2 | 17.40 | 17.41 | 17.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| 00.00.40 | 13:28 | <u></u> | 0.1 | 27.90 | 27.90 | 20.00 | 7.46 | 7.46 | 7.5 | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 97.1 | 97.6 | 07.00 | 7.85 | 7.92 | 7.0 | 14.59 | 14.59 | 44.0 | 5.2 | |
| 28-06-19 | 13:30 | Fine | Surface | 28.10 | 28.10 | 28.00 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.5 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 97.63 | 7.94 | 7.96 | 7.9 | 14.63 | 14.64 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 |

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level. Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station H - Ma Yau Tong Stream (Upstream Control Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | er Temp | erature | | pН | | | Salinit | ty | С | O Satu | ration | | DO mg/L | | | Turbid NTU | | Suspend | led Solids |
|----------|-------|---------------------|----------------|-------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|------|---------|---------|-------|--------|---------|------|------------|----------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Val | | Average | Va | alue | Average | Value | Average |
| 03-06-19 | 12:45 | Cloudy | Surface | 25.20 | 25.20 | 25.20 | 7.44 | 7.44 | 7.4 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 99.70 | 8.23 | 8.24 | 8.2 | 108.10 | 108.40 | 108.4 | 84.3 | 83.9 |
| | 12:47 | | | 25.20 | 25.20 | | 7.42 | 7.42 | | 0.14 | 0.14 | | 99.5 | 99.1 | | 8.18 | 8.15 | | 108.50 | 108.50 | | 83.5 | |
| 05-06-19 | 9:30 | Fine | Surface | 25.30 | 25.30 | 25.45 | 7.37 | 7.37 | 7.4 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 99.4 | 99.7 | 99.28 | 8.34 | 8.35 | 8.3 | 41.20 | 41.11 | 41.2 | 30.4 | 30.1 |
| | 9:32 | | | 25.60 | 25.60 | | 7.37 | 7.37 | | 0.16 | 0.16 | | 99.4 | 98.6 | | 8.21 | 8.22 | | 41.14 | 41.15 | | 29.8 | |
| 08-06-19 | 10:30 | Fine | Surface | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.60 | 8.04 | 8.04 | 8.0 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 99.5 | 101.2 | 99.95 | 7.96 | 8.18 | 8.0 | 156.60 | 156.60 | 157.5 | 142.0 | 146.0 |
| | 10:32 | | | 26.70 | 26.70 | | 8.04 | 8.04 | | 0.31 | 0.31 | | 100.0 | 99.1 | | 7.98 | 7.82 | | 157.40 | 159.50 | | 150.0 | |
| 10-06-19 | 14:30 | Cloudy | Surface | 27.20 | 27.20 | 27.35 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.0 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 107.7 | 101.4 | 101.60 | 8.08 | 7.96 | 7.9 | 32.64 | 32.62 | 32.6 | 21.6 | 21.9 |
| | 14:37 | , | | 27.50 | 27.50 | | 8.02 | 8.02 | | 0.76 | 0.76 | | 99.2 | 98.1 | | 7.80 | 7.71 | | 32.67 | 32.64 | | 22.2 | |
| 12-06-19 | 13:50 | Cloudy | Surface | 26.70 | 26.70 | 26.60 | 7.91 | 7.91 | 7.9 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 94.63 | 7.65 | 7.64 | 7.6 | 112.90 | 113.30 | 114.0 | 94.2 | 94.7 |
| .2 00 .0 | 13:52 | Cicaay | Gariage | 26.50 | 26.50 | 20.00 | 7.89 | 7.89 | 1.0 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 94.0 | 94.1 | 0 11.00 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 7.0 | 114.70 | 114.90 | | 95.2 | 0 |
| 14-06-19 | 14:22 | Fine | Surface | 27.20 | 27.20 | 27.20 | 7.36 | 7.36 | 7.3 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 98.6 | 99.8 | 99.98 | 7.76 | 7.85 | 7.9 | 106.00 | 106.00 | 106.0 | 70.4 | 69.9 |
| 14-06-19 | 14:24 | Fine | Surface | 27.20 | 27.20 | 21.20 | 7.33 | 7.33 | 7.3 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 100.5 | 101.0 | 99.90 | 7.90 | 7.94 | 7.9 | 106.00 | 106.00 | 106.0 | 69.4 | 69.9 |
| 17-06-19 | 11:00 | Fine | Surface | 25.30 | 25.30 | 25.30 | 7.21 | 7.21 | 7.2 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 98.3 | 98.1 | 97.78 | 8.07 | 8.05 | 8.0 | 20.95 | 20.97 | 21.0 | 18.2 | 18.0 |
| 17-00-19 | 11:02 | i ilie | Surface | 25.30 | 25.30 | 23.30 | 7.21 | 7.21 | 1.2 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 97.70 | 7.99 | 7.99 | 0.0 | 21.00 | 21.04 | 21.0 | 17.8 | 10.0 |
| 19-06-19 | 10:10 | Fine | Surface | 26.90 | 26.90 | 26.90 | 8.19 | 8.19 | 8.2 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 96.78 | 7.76 | 7.77 | 7.7 | 724.80 | 724.10 | 717.9 | 796.0 | 788.5 |
| 10 00 10 | 10:12 | Tille | Gundoo | 26.90 | 26.90 | 20.00 | 8.19 | 8.19 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 96.1 | 96.3 | 00.70 | 7.66 | 7.67 | | 711.20 | 711.30 | 717.0 | 781.0 | 7 00.0 |
| 21-06-19 | 14:55 | Fine | Surface | 28.90 | 28.90 | 29.20 | 8.27 | 8.27 | 8.3 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 93.1 | 94.5 | 93.93 | 7.27 | 7.21 | 7.2 | 39.77 | 39.77 | 39.8 | 50.0 | 50.7 |
| 21 00 10 | 14:57 | | Ganage | 29.50 | 29.50 | 20.20 | 8.29 | 8.29 | 0.0 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 93.9 | 94.2 | 00.00 | 7.16 | 7.18 | | 39.88 | 39.88 | 00.0 | 51.3 | 00 |
| 24-06-19 | 10:05 | Fine | Surface | 26.90 | 26.90 | 26.95 | 9.22 | 9.22 | 9.2 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 92.4 | 91.4 | 91.63 | 7.35 | 7.27 | 7.3 | 66.68 | 66.74 | 66.7 | 71.1 | 71.6 |
| 24 00 10 | 10:07 | Tille | Gunade | 27.00 | 27.00 | 20.00 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 0.2 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 91.3 | 91.4 | 01.00 | 7.27 | 7.27 | 7.0 | 66.69 | 66.69 | 00.7 | 72.1 | 7 1.0 |
| 26-06-19 | 12:40 | Fine | Surface | 26.90 | 26.90 | 88.80 | 7.32 | 7.32 | 7.3 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 106.0 | 105.4 | 105.83 | 8.38 | 8.28 | 8.3 | 70.15 | 70.16 | 70.1 | 44.0 | 44.2 |
| 20 00 10 | 12:42 | 1 1110 | Canado | 27.20 | 274.20 | | 7.28 | 7.28 | 7.0 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 106.0 | 105.9 | 100.00 | 8.38 | 8.35 | <u> </u> | 70.18 | 70.10 | 70.1 | 44.4 | 77.2 |
| 28-06-19 | 13:56 | Fine | Surface | 27.20 | 27.20 | 27.40 | 7.29 | 7.29 | 7.3 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 87.9 | 88.2 | 87.88 | 6.86 | 6.88 | 6.9 | 21.23 | 21.23 | 21.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| 20-00-19 | 13:58 | 1 1116 | Juliace | 27.60 | 27.60 | 21.40 | 7.32 | 7.32 | 7.5 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 07.00 | 6.83 | 6.84 | 0.5 | 21.32 | 21.32 | 21.0 | 5.6 | 3.4 |

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station I - Ma Yau Tong Stream (Downstream Impact Station)

| Date | Time | Weater | Sampling Depth | Wat | ter Temp | perature | | рН | | | Salinit | ty | С | O Satu | ation | | DO | | | Turbid | | | ed Solids |
|----------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|----------|----------|------|-------|---------|------|------------|---|-------|-----------|---------|------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | llue | Average | Va | lue - | Average | Va | ppt lue | Average | Va | % alue | Average | Va | mg/L lue | Average | Va | NTU ilue | Average | Mg Value | g/L Average |
| 03-06-19 | 13:10 | Cloudy | Surface | 25.10 | 25.10 | 25.15 | 7.27 | 7.27 | 7.3 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 103.5 | 103.0 | 101.80 | 8.51 | 8.48 | 8.4 | 31.03 | 31.14 | 31.1 | 25.6 | 25.4 |
| 03-00-19 | 13:12 | Cloudy | Guilace | 25.20 | 25.20 | 23.13 | 7.29 | 7.29 | 7.5 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 100.4 | 100.3 | 101.00 | 8.25 | 8.24 | 0.4 | 31.15 | 31.16 | 31.1 | 25.1 | 25.4 |
| 05-06-19 | 9:55 | Fine | Surface | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.10 | 7.29 | 7.29 | 7.3 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 99.1 | 99.6 | 98.90 | 8.01 | 8.05 | 8.0 | 142.40 | 142.40 | 142.4 | 177.0 | 176.5 |
| 00-00-13 | 9:57 | Tille | Curiacc | 26.20 | 26.20 | 20.10 | 7.26 | 7.26 | 7.5 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 98.4 | 98.5 | 30.30 | 7.94 | 7.95 | 0.0 | 142.40 | 142.40 | 142.4 | 176.0 | 110.5 |
| 08-06-19 | 10:50 | Fine | Surface | 26.80 | 26.80 | 26.85 | 7.59 | 7.59 | 7.6 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 101.5 | 101.7 | 100.93 | 8.15 | 8.16 | 8.1 | 49.09 | 49.12 | 49.1 | 45.0 | 46.4 |
| 00 00 10 | 10:52 | 1 1110 | Curiuoo | 26.90 | 26.90 | 20.00 | 7.59 | 7.59 | 7.0 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 100.5 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 7.97 | 7.93 | 0.1 | 49.12 | 49.14 | 40.1 | 47.8 | 40.4 |
| 10-06-19 | 15:40 | Cloudy | Surface | 27.20 | 27.20 | 27.15 | 7.55 | 7.55 | 7.5 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 100.6 | 100.6 | 99.75 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 7.9 | 18.60 | 18.61 | 18.6 | 13.8 | 14.0 |
| | 15:42 | o.ouu, | Sunass | 27.10 | 27.10 | 27.10 | 7.53 | 7.53 | 1.0 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 98.8 | 99.0 | 00.10 | 7.84 | 7.85 | | 18.62 | 18.66 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 10 |
| 12-06-19 | 13:30 | Cloudy | Surface | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.55 | 8.16 | 8.16 | 8.2 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 98.43 | 7.96 | 7.94 | 7.9 | 55.65 | 55.35 | 55.5 | 32.5 | 33.3 |
| .2 00 10 | 13:32 | o.ouu, | Sunass | 26.60 | 26.60 | 20.00 | 8.15 | 8.15 | 0.2 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 97.8 | 97.3 | 00.10 | 7.82 | 7.78 | | 55.30 | 55.56 | 00.0 | 34.0 | 00.0 |
| 14-06-19 | 14:52 | Fine | Surface | 27.80 | 27.80 | 27.90 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 101.0 | 100.2 | 100.45 | 7.91 | 7.85 | 7.9 | 46.01 | 46.01 | 45.9 | 20.7 | 26.9 |
| | 14:54 | | | 28.00 | 28.00 | | 7.05 | 7.05 | | 0.11 | 0.11 | • | 100.2 | 100.4 | | 7.84 | 7.86 | | 45.82 | 45.82 | | 33.1 | |
| 17-06-19 | 11:30 | Fine | Surface | 25.60 | 25.60 | 25.65 | 7.33 | 7.33 | 7.3 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 96.45 | 7.94 | 7.93 | 7.9 | 15.33 | 15.31 | 15.3 | 11.8 | 12.0 |
| | 11:32 | | | 25.70 | 25.70 | | 7.33 | 7.36 | | 0.22 | 0.22 | | 95.4 | 95.5 | | 7.78 | 7.78 | | 15.34 | 15.35 | | 12.1 | |
| 19-06-19 | 10:35 | Fine | Surface | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.10 | 8.16 | 8.16 | 8.2 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 96.3 | 97.3 | 94.80 | 7.64 | 7.71 | 7.7 | 195.30 | 194.80 | 194.8 | 157.0 | 157.5 |
| | 10:37 | | | 27.20 | 27.20 | | 8.16 | 8.16 | | 0.19 | 0.19 | | 92.8 | 92.8 | | 7.75 | 7.75 | | 194.60 | 194.60 | | 158.0 | |
| 21-06-19 | 15:47 | Fine | Surface | 28.60 | 28.60 | 28.75 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 7.3 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 94.4 | 94.3 | 94.13 | 7.28 | 7.26 | 7.3 | 15.94 | 15.94 | 15.9 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| | 15:49 | | | 28.90 | 28.90 | | 7.29 | 7.29 | | 0.19 | 0.19 | | 94.2 | 93.6 | | 7.25 | 7.21 | | 15.94 | 15.94 | | 8.4 | |
| 24-06-19 | 10:30 | Fine | Surface | 27.30 | 27.30 | 27.30 | 8.27 | 8.27 | 8.3 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 95.7 | 96.5 | 95.95 | 7.58 | 7.64 | 7.6 | 19.64 | 19.68 | 19.7 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| | 10:32 | | | 27.30 | 27.30 | | 8.25 | 8.25 | | 0.22 | 0.22 | | 96.0 | 95.6 | | 7.51 | 7.56 | | 19.71 | 19.75 | | 7.9 | |
| 26-06-19 | 13:00 | Fine | Surface | 27.10 | 27.10 | 27.15 | 7.22 | 7.22 | 7.2 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 106.8 | 106.7 | 105.83 | 8.48 | 8.46 | 8.4 | 33.02 | 33.02 | 33.0 | 13.9 | - 13.8 |
| | 13:02 | | | 27.20 | 27.20 | | 7.19 | 7.19 | | 0.14 | 0.14 | | 105.1 | 104.7 | | 8.37 | 8.29 | | 33.02 | 33.01 | | 13.6 | |
| 28-06-19 | 14:21 | Fine | Surface | 26.90 | 26.90 | 27.00 | 7.68 | 7.68 | 7.7 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 97.08 | 7.84 | 7.85 | 7.9 | 18.25 | 18.25 | 18.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| | 14:23 | | | 27.10 | 27.10 | | 7.69 | 7.69 | | 0.17 | 0.17 | | 97.2 | 97.3 | | 7.88 | 7.89 | | 18.29 | 18.31 | | 5.3 | |

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station AC1 - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Upstream Reference Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | er Temp °C | erature | | pH - | | | Salini ppt | ty | С | OO Satui % | ration | | DO mg/L | | | Turbid NTU | ity | Suspend | led Solids g/L |
|----------|-------|---------------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-----------|------|----------|---------|------|---------------|---------|------|---------------|----------|------|------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | | Average | Va | | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Value | Average |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - - | - | - | - |
| - | - | <u>-</u> | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | _ | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 15:48 | | | 25.30 | 25.30 | | 6.21 | 6.21 | | 0.02 | 0.02 | | 98.0 | 99.6 | <u> </u> | 7.97 | 8.10 | | 17.92 | 17.92 | | 2.6 | |
| 14-06-19 | 15:50 | Fine | Surface | 26.00 | 26.00 | 25.65 | 6.21 | 6.22 | 6.2 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 99.9 | 99.0 | 99.13 | 8.12 | 8.04 | 8.1 | 17.92 | 17.92 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 9.5 |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> -</u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - _ | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | <u> </u> |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| <u>-</u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | <u>.</u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station AC1) would be taken as reference for exceedance investigation only.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station AC2 - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Upstream Reference Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | er Temp | erature | | рН | | | Salini ppt | ty | [| OO Satui | ration | | DO mg/L | | | Turbidity NTU | | Suspend | ded Solids |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | lue | Average | Va | alue | Average | Va | ılue | Average | Va | alue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | alue | Average | Value | Average |
| - | - | <u>-</u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | · - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | _ |
| - | - | . <u>-</u> | - | - | - | . <u>-</u> | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | | · - | - | - | - | · <u>-</u> | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> | - | - |
| 14-06-19 | 16:52 16:54 | Fine | Surface | 24.50 24.40 | 24.50 24.40 | 24.45 | 11.37 11.39 | 11.37 11.39 | - 11.4 | 0.50 0.51 | 0.50 0.51 | - 0.51 | 104.2 | 103.2 98.3 | 101.68 | 8.70 8.42 | 8.60 8.19 | 8.5 | 401.30 398.20 | 401.30 398.20 | 399.8 | 341.0 351.0 | 346.0 |
| 17-06-19 | 10:10 | Fine | Surface | 24.70 24.90 | 24.70 24.90 | 24.80 | 7.75 7.69 | 7.75 7.69 | 7.7 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 95.1 97.0 | 97.8 97.1 | 96.75 | 7.95 8.03 | 8.10 8.04 | 8.0 | 9.55 9.50 | 9.54 9.47 | 9.5 | 15.3 15.0 | 15.2 |
| 19-06-19 | 9:50 9:52 | Fine | Surface | 25.20 25.30 | 25.20 25.30 | 25.25 | 7.41 7.41 | 7.41 7.41 | 7.4 | 0.19 | 0.19 0.19 | 0.19 | 95.6 95.8 | 95.8 95.1 | 95.58 | 7.85 7.84 | 7.87 7.81 | 7.8 | 11.48 11.48 | 11.48 11.48 | - 11.5 | 5.6 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 21-06-19 | 11:33 11:35 | Fine | Surface | 25.80 26.20 | 25.80 26.20 | 26.00 | 8.65 8.57 | 8.65 8.57 | 8.6 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 91.4 92.0 | 92.2 91.2 | 91.70 | 7.40 7.45 | 7.47 7.38 | 7.4 | 3.07 3.07 | 3.07 3.07 | 3.1 | 8.6 2.4 | 5.5 |
| 24-06-19 | 9:25 9:27 | Fine | Surface | 25.90 26.00 | 25.90 26.00 | 25.95 | 8.03 8.00 | 8.03 8.00 | 8.0 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 92.2 93.9 | 92.6 94.2 | 93.23 | 7.42 7.61 | 7.52 7.63 | 7.5 | 10.66 10.66 | 10.57 10.60 | 10.6 | 5.5 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 26-06-19 | 10:20 | Fine | Surface | 25.40 25.60 | 25.40 25.60 | 25.50 | 7.34 7.40 | 7.34 7.40 | 7.4 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 98.9 97.1 | 98.9 97.2 | 98.03 | 8.06 7.91 | 8.06 7.94 | 8.0 | 29.71 29.72 | 29.70 29.72 | - 29.7 | 14.1 | 14.2 |
| 28-06-19 | 12:46 12:48 | Fine | Surface | 27.00 27.20 | 27.00 27.20 | 27.10 | 7.08 7.10 | 7.08 7.10 | 7.1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 92.3 93.5 | 93.2 92.7 | 92.93 | 7.34 7.43 | 7.41 7.36 | 7.4 | 6.37 6.31 | 6.37 6.31 | 6.3 | 26.9 4.4 | - 15.7 |

Remarks

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station AC2) would be taken as reference for exceedance investigation only.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station AC3 - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Upstream Reference Station)

| Date | Time | Weater Condition | Sampling Depth | Wat | er Temp | erature | | рН | | | Salini | ty | D | O Satur | ation | | DO ma// | | | Turbid NTU | | | led Solids |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|-------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| | | Condition | m | Va | lue | Average | Va | lue - | Average | Va | ppt ilue | Average | Va | lue | Average | Va | mg/L | Average | Va | lue | Average | mı Value | Average |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | - | | | - | - | | | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | - | | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | - | | - | |
| - | - | = | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14-06-19 | 16:20 | Fine | Surface | 24.90 | 24.90 | 25.05 | 6.90 | 6.90 | 6.9 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 94.7 | 96.4 | 96.23 | 7.83 | 7.96 | 7.9 | 15.67 | 15.68 | 15.5 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| | 16:22 10:15 | | | 25.20 | 25.20 24.20 | | 6.90 7.25 | 6.90 7.25 | | 0.03 | 0.03 | | 96.8 99.5 | 97.0 99.2 | | 7.99 8.33 | 8.01 | | 15.30 11.25 | 15.16 11.09 | | 7.5 8.6 | |
| 17-06-19 | 10:17 | Fine | Surface | 24.30 | 24.30 | 24.25 | 7.26 | 7.26 | 7.3 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 98.8 | 98.4 | 98.98 | 8.27 | 8.23 | 8.3 | 11.09 | 11.00 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| 19-06-19 | 9:35 | Fine | Surface | 25.20 | 25.20 | 25.35 | 7.53 | 7.53 | 7.5 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 94.3 | 94.7 | 94.20 | 7.75 | 7.78 | 7.7 | 14.68 | 14.68 | 14.7 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
| | 9:37 | | | 25.70 | 25.30 | | 7.54 | 7.54 | | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 93.5 | 94.3 | | 7.69 | 7.74 | | 14.67 | 14.65 | | 7.8 | <u> </u> |
| 21-06-19 | 11:25 | Fine | Surface | 26.30 | 26.30 | 26.35 | 8.50 | 8.50 8.50 | 8.5 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 91.0 | 90.9 | 91.85 | 7.33 | 7.33 | 7.4 | 7.16 | 7.03 6.69 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| | 9:10 | | | 26.30 | 26.30 | | 8.27 | 8.27 | | 0.09 | 0.09 | | 88.8 | 89.4 | | 7.16 | 7.20 | | 8.35 | 8.33 | | 3.0 | |
| 24-06-19 | 9:12 | Fine | Surface | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.35 | 8.14 | 8.14 | 8.2 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 89.7 | 89.4 | 89.33 | 7.23 | 7.19 | 7.2 | 8.33 | 8.34 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 26-06-19 | 10:15 | Fine | Surface | 26.20 | 26.20 | 26.25 | 7.07 | 7.07 | 7.1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 106.7 | 106.0 | 104.88 | 8.55 | 8.50 | 8.4 | 10.70 | 10.71 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| | 10:17 | | | 26.30 | 26.30 | | 7.06 | 7.06 | | 0.05 | 0.05 | | 104.0 | 102.8 | | 8.35 | 8.29 | - | 10.65 | 10.66 | - | 4.3 | <u> </u> |
| 28-06-19 | 13:22 | Fine | Surface | 27.30 | 27.30 | 27.40 | 7.11 | 7.11 | 7.1 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 96.7 | 96.9 | 96.80 | 7.86 | 7.88 | 7.9 | 8.71 | 8.71 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| | 13:24 | | | 27.50 | 27.50 | | 7.13 | 7.13 | | 0.06 | 0.06 | | 96.5 | 97.1 | | 7.84 | 7.91 | | 8.73 | 8.73 | | 4.7 | |


Remarks:


Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.


Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station AC3) would be taken as reference for exceedance investigation only.
























































































































Appendix 5.5

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site - Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018(year)

| | | Actual Quanti | ties of Inert C&D | Materials Generate | ed Monthly | | | Actual Quantities of | C&D Wastes G | enerated Monthly | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Month | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| Jan | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mar | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| May | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jun | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sub-total | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Jul | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Aug | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sep | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Oct | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.081 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Nov | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.088 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Dec | 0.116 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.116 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.064 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Total | 0.119 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.119 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.238 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.003 |

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

| | | | Forecast of T | otal Quantities of 0 | C&D Materials to b | e Generated from | the Contract* | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| 7.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 2.000 | 0.300 | 1.000 | 3.500 |

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6.14.
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material and waste will be collected by recycler for recycling
- (4) Use the conversion factor, density of general refuse (1 t/m³) and inert C&D materials (2 t/m³).
- (5) Use the conversion factor for chemical waste (0.88kg/L)

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019(year)

| | | Actual Quanti | ties of Inert C&D | Materials Generate | ed Monthly | | | Actual Quantities of | C&D Wastes Go | enerated Monthly | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Month | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| Jan | 0.514 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.514 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Feb | 0.419 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.419 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.103 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Mar | 0.672 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.672 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.084 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Apr | 1.505 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.505 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| May | 1.309 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.563 | 1.309 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.179 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.009 |
| Jun | 0.695 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.488 | 0.695 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| Sub-total | 5.115 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.050 | 5.115 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.366 | 0.028 | 0.000 | 0.041 |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 5.115 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.050 | 5.115 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.366 | 0.028 | 0.000 | 0.041 |

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

| | | | Forecast of T | otal Quantities of C | C&D Materials to b | e Generated from | the Contract* | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| 7.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 2.000 | 0.300 | 1.000 | 3.500 |


Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6.14.
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material and waste will be collected by recycler for recycling
- (4) Use the conversion factor, density of general refuse (1 t/m³) and inert C&D materials (2 t/m³).
- (5) Use the conversion factor for chemical waste (0.88kg/L)

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works

Appendix 6.1

Event Action Plans



Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| EVENT | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| | ET | IEC ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Action Level being exceeded | Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the ER accordingly; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Review the investigation failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | Submit noise mitigation proposals to ET Leader / ER; Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Limit Level being exceeded | Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |




Event and Action Plan for Construction Air Quality

| EVENT | | ACTION | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | Notify Contractor. | Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; and Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Identify source; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Advise the Contractor and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Contractor, IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; and 4. Amend proposal as appropriate. |




Event and Action Plan for Construction Air Quality (Con't)

| FVENT | | ACTION | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | |
| 1. Exceedance for one sample | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; and Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| 2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |




Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

| EVENT | | ACTI | ON | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| ACTION LEVEL | | | | |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, ER and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days | Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. | Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, ER and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |



Event and Action Plan for Water Quality (cont'd)

| EVENT | | ACTI | ON | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. | Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days | Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. | Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level. | Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities. |



Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

| EVENT | ACTION | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR | | | | | | | |
| LIMIT LEVEL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nonconformity on one occasion | Identify source(s); Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor; Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed | Check inspection report; Check contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; Check implementation of remedial measures | Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial | Identify source and investigate the non- conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement | | | | | | | |
| Repeated Nonconformity | Identify source(s) Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss inspection frequency Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; If non- conformity stops, cease additional monitoring | Check inspection report Check Contractor's working method Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures | Notify the Contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures | Identify source and investigate the non- conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the non- conformity is abated. | | | | | | | |



Appendix 6.2

Summary for Notification of Exceedance



Lam Environmental Services Limited

| Ref no. | Date | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| X_18RIW2_013 | 20-May-19 | F | Turbidity (NTU) | 78.0 | 24.4 | 32.7 | Possible reason: | Natural variation in water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station or suspected contribution from other construction site activities at Fei Ha Road. |
| | | | рН | 7.3 | 6.6-8.4 | 6.5-8.5 | | |
| | | | SS (mg/L) | 61.1 | 17.0 | 23.8 | Action taken/ to be taken: | A repeated in-situ measurement (Turbidity = 77.90) had been conducted immeditately to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 20 May 2019. Increased the monitoring frequency to daily on 21 May 2019, no exceedance was recorded (Turbidity = 5.2). For suspended solid, exceedance was recorded on 22 May 2019 (suspended solid = 110.5 mg/L). Data sheet are attached for reference. |
| | | | DO(mg/l) | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Remarks/ Other Observations: | Slightly milky water was observed at monitoring station F during water quality monitoring, meanwhile, milky water was observed at upstream and other existing construction site at the upper of Fei Ngo Shan Road was observed at upstream. Site clearance, chain link fence and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 construction site area under Contract No. NE/2017/03 on the monitoring date, however, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed during monitoring and afterward daily monitoring. In view of the above, it is considered that there were no evidence to suggest the exceedances were related to Project works at RIW2. Daily programme, site condition photos and the abstract of weather information from HKO are attached for reference |
| X_18RIW2_014 | 22-May-19 | F | Turbidity (NTU) | 129.4 | 24.4 | 32.7 | Possible reason: | Natural variation in water quality due to raining in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station or suspected contribution from other construction site activities at the upper of Fei Ngo Shan Road. |
| | | | рН | 8.4 | 6.6-8.4 | 6.5-8.5 | | |
| | | | SS (mg/L) DO(mg/l) | 110.5 | 17.0 5.8 | 23.8 5.5 | Action taken/ to be taken: | A repeated in-situ measurement (Turbidity = 127.90) had been conducted immeditately to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 22 May 2019. Increased the monitoring frequency to daily on 23 May 2019, exceedance was recorded (Turbidity = 67.3). For suspended solid, exceedance was recorded on 24 May 2019 (suspended solid = 499.0 mg/L). Data sheet are attached for reference. |
| | | | | | | | Remarks/ Other Observations: | Amber rainstorm waring signal was enforced on 20 and 21 May 2019 and it was also rainy on 23 May 2019. Silty water was observed at monitoring station F during water quality monitoring, meanwhile, other existing construction site at Fei Ha Road was observed at upstream and silty water was also observed at upper stream of station F. Site clearance and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 construction site area under Contract No. NE/2017/03 on 22 May 2019 and Site clearance, chain link fence and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 on 23 May 2019, however, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed during monitoring and afterward daily monitoring. In view of the above, it is considered that there were no evidence to suggest the exceedances were related to Project works at RIW2. Daily programme, site condition photos and the abstract of weather information from HKO are attached for reference |


Lam Environmental Services Limited

| Ref no. | Date | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---|
| X_18RIW2_015 | 24-May-19 | F | Turbidity (NTU) | 681.2 | 24.4 6.6-8.4 | 32.7 6.5-8.5 | Possible reason: | Runoff from site was observed entering the nearby gullies and may cause possible contribution to the exceedance, hence, the exceedance was project related. Natural variation in water quality due to raining in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station and suspected contribution from other construction site activities at the upper of Fei Ha Road were also possibly causing the limit level of exceedance. |
| | | | SS (mg/L) DO(mg/l) | 499.0 | 17.0 | | Action taken/ to be taken: | A repeated in-situ measurement (Turbidity = 681.2 NTU) had been conducted immeditately to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 24 May 2019. Inspecting the surrounding of site, drainages condition, water flow at those drainages and the water flow, to find out possible causes to the abnormally high level of exceedance. Increased the monitoring frequency to daily on 25 May 2019 and 26 May 2019, exceedance was recorded on 25 May 2019 (Turbidity = 139.6 NTU) but no exceedance was found on 26 May 2019. For suspended solid, exceedance was recorded on 27 May 2019 (Suspended solid = 124.3). Data sheet are attached for reference. |
| | | | | | | | Remarks/ Other Observations: | The weather condition was rainy from 23 to 27 May 2019. Silty water was observed at monitoring station F during water quality monitoring on 24 May 2019 and milky water was observed on 25 May 2019, meanwhile, other existing construction site at Fei Ha Road was observed at upstream. Site clearance, chain link fence and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 construction site area under Contract No. NE/2017/03 on 24 and 25 May 2019, and silty water was observed entering the nearby gullies due to the washing of slit that was brought outside the site by the dumping truck leaving from the site entrance on 24 May 2019. However, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed on 25 and 26 May 2019. In view of the above, it is considered that the slit that entering the nearby gullies may have contribution to the exceedance on 24 May 2019 although heavy rain and contribution from other construction site may also be the possible causes of the exceedance in the cumulative effect. Therefore, the exceedance was related to Project works at RIW2. Daily programme, site condition photos and the abstract of weather information from HKO are attached for reference. |



Lam Environmental Services Limited

| Ref no. | Date | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| X_18RIW2_016 | 27-May-19 | F | Turbidity (NTU) | 70.6 | 24.4 | 32.7 | Possible reason: | Natural variation in water quality due to raining in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station. |
| | | | рН | 8.2 | 6.6-8.4 | 6.5-8.5 | | |
| | | | SS (mg/L) DO(mg/l) | 124.3 8.1 | 17.0 5.8 | 23.8 | Action taken/ to be taken: | A repeated in-situ measurement (Turbidity = 71.72 NTU) had been conducted immeditately to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 27 May 2019. Increased the monitoring frequency to daily on 28 May 2019, exceedance was recorded (Turbidity = 50.2 NTU). The follow-up monitoring was carried out until 30 May 2019 and no exceedances were recorded on 29 and 30 May 2019. For suspended solid, no exceedance was recorded on 29 May 2019. Data sheet are attached for reference. |
| | | | | | | | Remarks/ Other Observations: | Amber rainstorm warning signal was enforced on 26, 27 and 28 May 2019. The weather condition was rainy From 26 to 28 May 2019. Milky water was observed at monitoring station I during water quality monitoring. No major works was observed on 27 May 2019 at the construction site at Fei Ha Road during water monitoring period but drilling was observed conducting on 28 May 2019. Site clearance and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 construction site area under Contract No. NE/2017/03 on the 27 May 2019 and Site clearence, soil nail and survey checking were commenced at RIW2 on 28 May 2019, however, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed during monitoring and afterward daily monitoring. In view of the above, it is considered that there were no evidence to suggest the exceedances were related to Project works at RIW2. Daily programme, site condition photos and the abstract of weather information from HKO are |



Appendix 8.1

Complaint Log




Environmental Complaints Log


| Complaint Log No. | Date of Complaint | Received From and Received By | Location of Complainant | Nature of Complaint | Outcome | Status |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------|
| | | | | | | |



Appendix 9.1

Construction Programme of Individual Contracts





| CON40140 | | | | | | May 17 | Jun 18 | Jul 19 | Aug 20 |
|---------------------------|---|-----|--|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| CON40140 | | | | | | | 10 | 13 | 20 |
| | Construct soldier pile wall to E8-ABT | 52 | 17-Jun-19 | 16-Aug-19 | | | | | |
| CON40170 | ELS to E8-F4 (approx 1783m3, @25m3/d) | 72 | 18-Jul-19 | 12-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| CON40200 | ELS to E8-F7 (approx 1378m3, @25m3/d) | 55 | 17-Aug-19 | 23-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| ooting Construction | | 57 | 14-May-19 A | 20-Jul-19 | | | | | |
| CON40210 | Construct footing E8-F9 & E8-F1 (85m3) & backfilling | 30 | 14-May-19 A | 19-Jun-19 | | | | | |
| CON40220 | Construct footing E8-F2 (38m3) & backfilling | 18 | 04-Jun-19 | 25-Jun-19 | | | | | |
| CON40230 | Construct footing E8-F3 (65m3) & backfilling | 24 | 22-Jun-19 | 20-Jul-19 | | | | | |
| ier Construction | | 93 | 26-Jun-19 | 16-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| CON40240 | Construct pier E8-P1 (2 pour) | 42 | 26-Jun-19 | 14-Aug-19 | | | | | |
| CON40250 | Construct pier E8-P2 (3 pour) | 72 | 22-Jul-19 | 16-Oct-19 | | | | | i i |
| scalator Pit Construction | | 60 | 15-Aug-19 | 26-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| | | | , and the second | | | | | | _ |
| CON40260 | Construct escalator pit F1>P1 | 60 | 15-Aug-19 | 26-Oct-19 | | | | | _ |
| &M Works | | 156 | 25-Mar-19 A | 03-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| CON41250 | Application for power supply & energization (PC-E8) | 156 | 25-Mar-19 A | 03-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| destrian Connectivity Fa | acility (PC-E11) | 864 | 15-Nov-18 A | 27-Nov-21 | | | | | |
| onstruction Works | | 864 | 15-Nov-18 A | 27-Nov-21 | | | | | |
| reliminary Works | | 856 | 08-Jan-19 A | 27-Nov-21 | | | | | |
| CON40731 | Trees preservation duration works period at portion E | 856 | 08-Jan-19 A | 27-Nov-21 | | | | | |
| | nices preservation duration works period at portion E | | | | | | | | |
| oundation Works | | 317 | 15-Nov-18 A | 08-Jan-20 | | | | | |
| CON40750 | Pre-drill & construct socket H-pile works for E11-PC1 to E11-PC5 (89nos, 6d/n | 317 | 15-Nov-18 A | 08-Jan-20 | 1 | | | | |
| CON40770 | Tree felling & pre-drll works in Portion FII | 30 | 17-May-19 A | 21-Jun-19 | | | : | | |
| ub-structure Works | | 90 | 03-Aug-19 | 19-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| CON40790 | ELS & construct sub-structure for E11-PC1 | 90 | 03-Aug-19 | 19-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| us-Bus Interchange Publ | lic Toilet | 278 | 29-Dec-18 A | 05-Dec-19 | | | | | |
| CON40740 | Construct Public Toilet | 188 | 29-Dec-18 A | 19-Aug-19 | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> |
| CON41270 | Application for power supply & energization (BBI Toilet) | 90 | 29-Jan-19 A | 03-Jun-19 | : | | <u>:</u> | | |
| CON40810 | E&M Installation and Associated Landscape Works | 90 | 20-Aug-19 | 05-Dec-19 | | | | | |
| CON408110 | ABWF Works (BBI Toilet) | 84 | 20-Aug-19 | 28-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| | | 231 | 24-Jan-19 A | 05-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| destrian Connectivity Fa | acility System A (SYA) | | | | | | | | |
| onstruction Works | | 231 | 24-Jan-19 A | 05-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| ub-structure Works | | 231 | 24-Jan-19 A | 05-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| CON500420 | Excavate & install support at SYA-F1 (+144 to +130.5mPD, 2321m3, 40m3/d + | 93 | 24-Jan-19 A | 22-May-19 | | | | | |
| CON500430 | Addition duration due to qualtity poor than prelim estimate & addition slope stat | 36 | 23-May-19 | 05-Jul-19 | | | | | |
| CON500510 | Construct footing SYA-F1 (+130.5 ~ +134mPD) | 42 | 06-Jul-19 | 23-Aug-19 | | | | | - |
| CON500520 | Construct footing SYA-F1 (+134 ~ +144mPD) | 66 | 17-Aug-19 | 05-Nov-19 | | | | | |
| destrian Connectivity Fa | | 221 | 11-Mar-19 A | 03-Jan-20 | | | | | |
| | Scinty System B (STB) | | | | | | | | |
| onstruction Works | | 221 | 11-Mar-19 A | 03-Jan-20 | | | | | |
| reliminary Works | | 155 | 11-Mar-19 A | 28-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| CON502010 | Relocation of existing utilities (by C1 Contractor) | 45 | 11-Mar-19 A | 07-May-19 A | : | | | | |
| CON502030 | Waiting an approval for construct run-in-out along existing roundabout at On S | 37 | 11-Mar-19 A | 26-Apr-19 A | | | | | |
| CON502040 | LCSD confirm remove existing vegetation along existing footpath at On Sau R | 37 | 11-Mar-19 A | 26-Apr-19 A | | | | | |
| CON502050 | Construct run-in-out along existing roundabout | 12 | 25-Apr-19 A | 30-Apr-19 A | | | | | |
| CON50188 | Install monitoring & instrumentation (PC-SYB) | 12 | 14-May-19 A | 27-May-19 | | | | | |
| CON50220 | Form haul road (at upper portion: PC-A1 to PC8) | 54 | 20-May-19 A | 26-Jul-19 | | 0 | | | |
| CON502020 | Relocation of existing hoarding (by C1 Contractor) | 12 | 21-May-19 | 03-Jun-19 | |] | | | |
| CON502020 | Form haul road (till to near PC7) | 77 | 27-Jul-19 | 28-Oct-19 | | | | | |
| | i ominaurioau (untoricai i or) | 205 | | 03-Jan-20 | | | | | |
| oundation Works | | | 26-Mar-19 A | | | | | | |
| CON50270 | Pre-drill & construct socket H-pile works at SYB-PC3 (63nos, 6d/no, 2 teams) | 189 | 26-Mar-19 A | 25-Nov-19 | - | | | | |
| CON50260 | Moblisation of socketted H pile works to SYB-PC3 | 12 | 14-May-19 A | 31-May-19 | | | | | |
| CON50320 | Mobilisation of socketted H pile plant to SYS-A1 | 12 | 27-Jul-19 | 09-Aug-19 | | | | | <u> </u> |
| CON50350 | Moblisation of socketted H pile plant to SYS-PC8 | 12 | 27-Jul-19 | 09-Aug-19 | | | | | |
| CON50330 | Pre-drill & construct socket H-pile works at SYB-A1 under Portion K (18nos, 6d | 108 | 10-Aug-19 | 17-Dec-19 | | | | | |
| CON50360 | Pre-drill & construct socket H-pile works at SYB-PC8 (20nos, 6d/no, 1 team) | 120 | 10-Aug-19 | 03-Jan-20 | | | | | |
| arth Works | | 44 | 02-Aug-19 | 23-Sep-19 | | | | | |
| CON50510 | Install sheet pile at SYB-PC4 (27m L, 3m/d, 1 team) | 12 | 02-Aug-19 | 15-Aug-19 | | | | | |
| CON50520 | Excavate & install support at SYB-PC4 (270m3, 20m3/d, 1 team + 18d) | 32 | 16-Aug-19 | 23-Sep-19 | | | | | |

